arXiv:1704.05013v1 [math.AP] 17 Apr 2017

ON THE LOW-REGULARITY GLOBAL WELL-POSEDNESS OF A SYSTEM OF NONLINEAR SCHRODINGER EQUATION

CHENMIN SUN

Abstract. In this article, we study the low-regularity Cauchy problem of a one dimensional quadratic Schrodinger system with coupled parameter $\alpha \in (0,1)$. When $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$, we prove the global well-posedness in H^s with $s > -\frac{1}{4}$, while for $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain global well-posedness in H^s with $s > -\frac{5}{8}$. We have adapted the linear-nonlinear decomposition and resonance decomposition technique in different ranges of α

Key Words: global well-posedness,quadratic nonlinear Schrödinger equation ;I-method.

AMS Classification: 35P25, 35Q55, 47J35.

1. Introduction

Consider the following quadratic nonlinear Schrodinger system:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u = v\overline{u}, (t,x) \\ i\partial_t v + \alpha\Delta v = \dfrac{u^2}{2}, \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d \\ (u|_{t=0}, v|_{t=0}) = (u_0, v_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)\times H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

This model is closely linked with the Second-Harmonic Generation theory in nonlinear optics (for a review, see e.g.[9]).

The scaling

$$u_\lambda(t,x) := \lambda^2 u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), v_\lambda(t,x) := \lambda^2 v(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$$

keeps the equation (1.1) invariant, and the critical Sobolev index is

$$s_c = \frac{d}{2} - 2,$$

so that $\||D_x|^{s_c} u_\lambda\|_{L^2_x} = \||D_x|^{s_c} u\|_{L^2_x}$.

Moreover, the invariance of (1.1)

$$u \mapsto e^{i\theta}u, v \mapsto e^{2i\theta}v$$

leads to the mass conservation

$$\mathcal{M} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\frac{1}{2}|u(t,x)|^2 + |v(t,x)|^2)dx,$$

and the energy of this system

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|\nabla u|^2 + \alpha|\nabla v|^2)dx + \frac{1}{2}\Re\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v(\overline{u})^2 dx$$

is also conserved along the flow. It is a Hamiltonian system.

The author is supported by.

In [10], the authors have investigated this system systematically, for $d \leq 4$, the mass-subcritical and critical cases and $d \leq 6$, the energy subcritical and critical cases. By changing of variable, the parameter α here is exactly the mass radio $\frac{m}{M}$ in the equation appeared in [10].

In this paper, we will mainly concentrate ourselves on the low-regularity Cauchy problem in one dimensional case:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u = v\overline{u}, (t,x) \\ i\partial_t v + \alpha\partial_x^2 v = \dfrac{u^2}{2}, \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R} \\ (u|_{t=0}, v|_{t=0}) = (u_0, v_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}) \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Our main interest here is the global well-posedness of (1.2) in H^s with $s < 0$. We work with the so called Bourgain spaces, firstly introduced by J.Bourgain in[2], and then widely used by dispersive people in the work on Cauchy-problems of nonlinear dispersive and wave equations.

The local well-posed of our system is essentially nothing but the simple consequence of the work [5]. There the authors deal with single 1D NLS with various type of nonlinearities. To summary, if the nonlinearity is $u^2, \overline{u^2}$, the key bilinear estimates hold for $s > -\frac{3}{4}$(in some sense sharp), while for the nonlinearity $u\overline{u}$, one can only obtain bilinear estimate for $s > -\frac{1}{4}$, due to the different resonance relations.

As pointing out by the authors in [10], the mathematical interest of this system is the effect of the parameter α to the long time dynamics. In the aspect of Cauchy problem, we find that the parameter α is rather sensitive to the low-regularity dynamics. Though one can discuss a broader range for all $\alpha > 0$, we only discuss in this paper the case $0 < \alpha < 1$.

For local Cauchy problem we summarize as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *If $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, the Cauchy problem (1.2) is locally well-posed for $s \geq 0$.*
If $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$, the Cauchy problem (1.2) is locally well-posed for $s \geq -\frac{1}{2}$.
If $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, the Cauchy problem (1.2) is locally well-posed for $s > -\frac{3}{4}$.

In this paper, we do not prove any ill-posed result to complement the cases when s is below the thresholds above, instead, we give some counterexamples in both ranges of α to illustrate that the desired bilinear estimate can not hold for $s < 0$.

Concerning about the global well-posedness, our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.2. *If $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, the Cauchy problem (1.2) is globally well-posed for $s \geq 0$.*
If $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$, the Cauchy problem (1.2) is locally well-posed for $s > -\frac{1}{4}$.
If $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, the Cauchy problem (1.2) is globally well-posed for $s > -\frac{5}{8}$.

Notice that the global well-posedness in $L^2(s = 0)$ is the direct consequence of local well-posedness and conservation of mass, hence we will only proof the global existence result as well as the growth of Sobolev norms below L^2.

The study of global Cauchy problem below threshold of Sobolev index of conservation laws date back to J.Bourgain(see [3]). J.Bourgain has introduced the high-low frequency decomposition method to estimate separately the evolution

of low frequencies and of high frequencies of the initial data. Another useful tool is the "I-method" used by J.Colliander, M.Keel, G.Staffilani, H.Takaoka and T.Tao(see[13][14][15]). This method is extremely convenient to utilize if the nonlinearity poses a good algebraic structure. The idea is now quite robust, Applying "I-operator" to the initial data as well as the equation and proving the almost conservation law of some modified(mass or energy in the context of Schrödinger equation) functionals leads to the global well-posed result.

The study of Cauchy problem for systems is somewhat different from the study of single equations. One reason is that the dispersive relations of the two equations in(1.2) are not the same. Another reason is the nonlinearity for system sometimes has less symmetry, and this gives rise the technical difficulty in doing multi-linear analysis. In[8], the author considered the coupled kdv with different dispersive relations. For the periodic boundary value problem(i.e.problem posed on $\mathbb{T}$), the author studied the Diaphntine conditions for the parameter, which connect the theory of dynamic system to Cauchy problem of coupled kdv system. In this paper, we only deal with problems posed on $\mathbb{R}$, and it will be quite clear for the effect of the parameter α to our system.

Let's mention something about the proof of the global well posed result. Since we work with negative Sobolev spaces, we need exploit the modified mass which is a L^2 level multi-linear quantity and we should prove that is is almost conserved along the nonlinear flow. The cases $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ will be treated separately. For $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, the resonance function has strictly lower bound and we can easily obtain a control which will lead to the global well-posedness in H^s for $s > -\frac{1}{2}$. To go further, we need exploit another modified quantity, namely the second generation of modified mass, which seems in the H^1 level. As pointed out in [15],it seems to be a general principle when applying the I-method that terms which are more multi-linear (and hence have fewer derivatives) are easier to estimate than terms which are less multi-linear. This general belief does not match that well for the system case, due to the less symmetry of the nonlinearity: the 4-multi-linear resonance function may vanish in some frequency regions. We thus truncate the second modified quantity far from resonance sets and estimate separately for different frequency scails. This will give us better estimates than just using first generation of modified mass. With this modification, we can obtain the global solution for $s > -\frac{5}{8}$, and the restriction for s comes from the requirement of summability of dyadic pieces instead of final iterating scheme. We believe that this restriction could be improved. One may also wonder that if we can mimic the strategy in [14] to define the higher order modified quantity (which will product more multi-linear terms). In fact, this strategy fails since we can not cancel the fourth order multi-linear expression, due to the possible of resonance in the denominator(the attempt to use resonance decomposition technique also fails to obtain the sharp lower bound of s). Roughly speaking, this is the price to pay for the lack of symmetry.

The treatment for $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ is quite different since the 3-multi-linear resonance function can vanish. Crude estimate for the 3-multiplier does not give us a good restriction on s. We thus combine the truncating method and idea of linear-nonlinear decomposition, widely used in [4],[12],[11] for example. The advantage of this method is that we can obtain a long-time estimate for energy increase which

permits us to close the iterating in much less steps. We finally obtain the global well-posedness in H^s for $s > -\frac{1}{4}$.

We organize this paper as follows. In the second part, we give a brief introduction of the notations and background materials. In the third part, we will give the detailed proof of local well-posedness, both in the situation $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$.. In the forth and fifth sections, we give the standard argument of I-method in our situation, module several multi-linear estimates which will guarantee the almost conservation. Finally in the last several sections, we give the detailed estimates of the key multi-linear terms.

We acknowledge that almost at the same time, there is a similar work [1]. However, it seems that the global well-posedness result in [1] is rather crude, and our technique and results are better and more involved.

2. PRELIMINARY

We introduce some notations frequently used in this paper:

(1) Fourier transform: We often use
$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)e^{-ix\cdot\xi}dx$$
to stand for Fourier transform in Euclidean space. However, if we want to emphasize the space-time Fourier transform, we will use the notation
$$\mathcal{F}_{t,x}(f)(\tau,\xi) = \widetilde{f}(\tau,\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,x)e^{-it\tau-ix\cdot\xi}dtdx.$$
We omit all the constants(2π to some power) appear in the formula $\|f\|_{L^2_x} = \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2}$ and $\widehat{f*g} = \hat{f}*\hat{g}$.
Since we will deal with functions taken values of complex field, the simple observation
$$\widehat{\overline{f}}(\xi) = \overline{\widehat{f}(-\xi)}$$
is notable.
(2) inequality: We often use the notation $A \lesssim B, X \gtrsim Y, P \sim Q$ to stand for $A \leq CB, X \geq CY, cX \leq Y \leq CY$ for some $C, c > 0$ which are either uniform constants or constants do not depend on any main factors of the inequality. Sometimes we also use the notation $A = O(B)$ as usual in analysis.
(3) small constant: We will use the notation N^{s+} to stand for $N^{s+\epsilon}$ for some sufficiently small ϵ which may change line by line up to our need. The symbol N^{s-} stand for $N^{s-\epsilon}$ for some sufficiently small ϵ as well.
(4) max and min: We will denote $\max\{A, B\}$ as $A\vee B$ and $\min\{A, B\}$ as $A\wedge B$.

2.1. **multilinear expression.** We follows the notations in [13]: Let Z be any abelian additive group with an invariant measure $d\xi$. For integer $k \geq 2$, we define
$$\Gamma_k(Z) := \{(\xi_1, ..., \xi_k) \in Z^k : \xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_k = 0\},$$
which we endow with the obvious measure
$$\int_{\Gamma_k(Z)} f(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_k) := \int_{Z^{k-1}} f(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{k-1}, -\xi_1 \cdots - \xi_{k-1})d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_{k-1}.$$
This measure is symmetric with respect to permutation of the coordinates.

Take $\Gamma_n = \Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})$, for $M_n : \Gamma_n \to \mathbb{C}$ a multiplier of order n and $f_1, \cdots, f_n$ are functions on $\mathbb{R}$, we define the quantity $\Lambda_n(M_n; f_1 \cdots, f_n)$ by

$$\Lambda_n(M_n; f_1 \cdots, f_n) := \int_{\Gamma_n} M_n(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \widehat{f_j}(\xi_j).$$

If M_n is a multiplier of order n,$1 \leq j \leq n$ is an index, and $k \geq 1$ is an integer, we define the elongation $\mathbf{X}_j^k(M_n)$ of M_n to be the multiplier of order $n+k$ given by

$$\mathbf{X}_j^k(M_n)(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{n+k}) := M_n(\xi_1 \cdots, \xi_{j-1}, \xi_j + \cdots + \xi_{j+k}, \xi_{j+k+1}, \cdots, \xi_{n+k}).$$

For simplicity, we sometimes write ξ_{ij} for $\xi_i + \xi_j$, ξ_{ijk} for$\xi_i + \xi_j + \xi_k$, and so on. We also write ξ_{i-j} for $\xi_i - \xi_j$, and ξ_{ij-mnk} for $\xi_{ij} - \xi_{mnk}$, and so on.

We make a remark on the Plancherel formula:

$$\int f(x)\overline{g(x)}dx = \int \widehat{f}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{g}(\xi)}d\xi.$$

One find easily that under the multi-linear expression, the plancherel formula becomes

$$\int f(x)g(x)dx = \int_{\Gamma_2} \widehat{f}(\xi_1)\widehat{g}(\xi_2).$$

Moreover, taking the convolution formula into account, we have

$$\int \prod_{j=1}^{k} f_j(x)dx = \int_{\Gamma_k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \widehat{f_j}(\xi_j).$$

2.2. **Bourgain spaces $X^{s,b}$.** Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued continuous function.$S_\phi(t) = e^{it\phi(D_x)}$ be the semigroup associated to the free dispersive equation

$$i\partial_t u + \phi(D_x)u = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

If we take the space-time Fourier transform

$$\widetilde{u}(\tau, \xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(t, x)e^{-i\tau t - ix\cdot\xi}dxdt,$$

We find that the space-time Fourier transform of the solution to the free evolution equation (2.1) is constraint on the surface $\{(\tau, \xi) : \tau - \phi(\xi) = 0\}$. As in [16], we can define the Bourgain space $X^{s,b}_{\tau=\phi(\xi)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d), s, b \in \mathbb{R}$ as following:

$$X^{s,b}_{\tau=\phi(\xi)} := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}) : \|f\|_{X^{s,b}_{\tau=\phi(\xi)}} := \|\langle\xi\rangle^s \langle\tau - \phi(\xi)\rangle^b \widetilde{u}(\tau, \xi)\|_{L^2_{\tau,\xi}} < \infty \right\}.$$

Here and in the sequel, we adapt to the notation $\langle a\rangle = \sqrt{1 + |a|^2}$.

Sometimes we will use the local in time Bourgain spaces $X^{s,b}_{\tau=\phi(\xi)}(I)$: Suppose I is a time interval, then we define

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}_{\tau=\phi(\xi)}(I)} := \inf\{\|w\|_{X^{s,b}_{\tau=\phi(\xi)}} : w|_I = u\}.$$

Now we list some basic propositions about $X^{s,b}$ spaces, for proofs, one can consult [16].

Proposition 2.1. *(1)*

$$\|f\|_{X^{s,b}_{\tau=\phi(\xi)}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} = \|S_\phi(-t)f\|_{H^b_t(\mathbb{R};H^s_x(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

hence we have the embedding

$$X^{s,b}_{\tau=\phi(\xi)}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}) \hookrightarrow C_t(\mathbb{R};H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)),\ \textit{if } b>\frac{1}{2}.$$

(2) $(X^{s,b}_{\tau=\phi(\xi)})^* = X^{-s,-b}_{\tau=-\phi(-\xi)}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Assume Y is a space-time Banach space such that for all $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\|e^{it\tau_0}S_\phi(t)u_0\|_Y \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Then when $\frac{1}{2} < b < 1$, for any $u \in X^{0,b}_{\tau=\phi(\xi)}$, we have

$$\|u\|_Y \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{0,b}_{\tau=\phi(\xi)}}$$

In what follows, if the phase function ϕ is unambiguous, we may write $X^{s,b}_{\tau=\phi(\xi)}$ simply as $X^{s,b}$, and the semigroup $S_\phi(t)$ simply as $S(t)$.

We select $\psi(t) \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R})$, such that $0 \le \psi \le 1$ and $\psi(t) = 1, \forall |t| \le 1, \psi(t) = 0, \forall |t| \ge 2$. For $\delta \in (0,1]$, we define $\psi_\delta(t) := \psi(\delta^{-1}t)$.

Proposition 2.3. *(1) We have the homogenous linear estimate*

$$\|\psi_\delta(t)S(t)u_0\|_{X^{s,b}} \lesssim \delta^{\frac{1-2b}{2}}\|u_0\|_{H^s_x}, b \ge 0,$$

and

$$\|\psi_\delta(t)F\|_{X^{s,b}} \lesssim \delta^{\frac{1-2b}{2}}\|F\|_{X^{s,b}}, b > \frac{1}{2}.$$

(2) We have the inhomogenous linear estimate:If $b' + 1 \ge b \ge 0 \ge b' > -\frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\left\|\psi_\delta(t)\int_0^t S(t-t')F(t')dt'\right\|_{X^{s,b}} \lesssim \delta^{1+b'-b}\|F\|_{X^{s,b'}}.$$

In this paper, we need to use the Bourgain space norms associated to the Schrodinger semigroup $e^{-it\partial_x^2}$ and $e^{-it\alpha\partial_x^2}$. We will fix the notations of Bourgain spaces from now on:

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}} := \|u\|_{X^{s,b}_{\tau=\xi^2}(\mathbb{R}^{1+1})} := \|\langle\xi\rangle^s\langle\tau-\xi^2\rangle^b\widetilde{u}(\tau,\xi)\|_{L^2_{\tau,\xi}},$$

and

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}_\alpha} := \|u\|_{X^{s,b}_{\tau=\alpha\xi^2}(\mathbb{R}^{1+1})} := \|\langle\xi\rangle^s\langle\tau-\alpha\xi^2\rangle^b\widetilde{u}(\tau,\xi)\|_{L^2_{\tau,\xi}}.$$

2.3. **known linear estimates on Schrodinger semigroup.** Almost trivially, we have the estimate:

$$\|u\|_{L^2_{t,x}} = \|u\|_{X^{0,0}} \le \|u\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}},$$

and

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

Some deeper results combine the Strichartz estimates, local smoothing effect and maximal function estimates for Schrodinger semigroup (see [13]).

Proposition 2.4.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u\|_{L^6_{t,x}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}},\\
&\||D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}},\\
&\|u\|_{L^4_x L^\infty_t} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2}+}},\\
&\|u\|_{L^2_x L^\infty_t} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

It is now standard that people use the local smoothing effect and maximal function estimates to balance(or gain) some derivative for certain kinds of dispersive equation. For example, our scheme is the following: Suppose we have the expression $\|uvw\|_{L^2_{t,x}}$, we use Holder to control it by

$$\||D_x|^{-\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t}\|v\|_{L^4_x L^\infty_t}\|w\|_{L^4_x L^\infty_t},$$

then apply local smoothing to the first term and maximal function estimate to the last two terms, we balance $\frac{1}{2}$ derivative(often be the high frequencies) on u to two $\frac{1}{4}$ derivatives on v and w(should be low frequencies).

2.4. **multi-structure.** Writing our system as

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t u = i\partial_x^2 u - iv\overline{u},\\
\partial_t v = i\alpha\partial_x^2 v - i\dfrac{u^2}{2},\\
\partial_t \overline{u} = -i\partial_x^2\overline{u} + i\overline{v}u,\\
\partial_t \overline{v} = -i\alpha\partial_x^2\overline{v} + i\dfrac{\overline{u}^2}{2}
\end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Denote the resonance function(here and in the sequel)

$$h_3 = \xi_1^2 - \alpha\xi_2^2 + \xi_3^2,$$

from simple calculations, we find that under the nonlinear flow of (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t\Lambda_2(M_2;u,\overline{u}) &= -i\Lambda_3(\mathbf{X}^1_1(M_2);\overline{u},v,\overline{u}) + i\Lambda_3(\mathbf{X}^1_2(M_2);u,\overline{v},u),\\
\partial_t\Lambda_2(M_2;v,\overline{v}) &= -\frac{i}{2}\Lambda_3(\mathbf{X}^1_1(M_2);u,u,\overline{v}) + \frac{i}{2}\Lambda_3(\mathbf{X}^1_2(M_2);v,\overline{u},\overline{u}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

For the third order multiplier, we have under the nonlinear flow:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t\Lambda_3(M_3;u,\overline{v},u) =& -i\Lambda_3(h_3M_3;u,\overline{v},u) - i\Lambda_4(\mathbf{X}^1_1(M_3);v,\overline{u},\overline{v},u)\\
&+ \frac{i}{2}\Lambda_4(\mathbf{X}^1_2(M_3);u,\overline{u},\overline{u},u) - i\Lambda_4(\mathbf{X}^1_3(M_3);u,\overline{v},\overline{u},v),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

and for the conjugate expression, we have similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t\Lambda_3(M_3;\overline{u},v,\overline{u}) =& i\Lambda_3(h_3M_3;\overline{u},v,\overline{u}) + i\Lambda_4(\mathbf{X}^1_1(M_3);\overline{v},u,v,\overline{u})\\
&- \frac{i}{2}\Lambda_4(\mathbf{X}^1_2(M_3);\overline{u},u,u,\overline{u}) + i\Lambda_4(\mathbf{X}^1_3(M_3);\overline{u},v,u,\overline{v}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

An interesting application of these formulas is Fourier proof of conservation law, at least formally.

Define the total mass of (1.2) by

$$M(u,v)(t) = \frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2_x} + \|v(t)\|^2_{L^2_x} = \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2(1;u,\overline{u}) + \Lambda_2(1;v,\overline{v}), \tag{2.7}$$

and the energy by

$$\begin{aligned} H(u,v)(t) &= \|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^2_x}^2 + \alpha\|\partial_x v(t)\|_{L^2_x}^2 + \Re\int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{v(t)}u(t)^2 dx \\ &= -\Lambda_2(\xi_1\xi_2; u, \overline{u}) - \alpha\Lambda_2(\xi_1\xi_2; v, \overline{v}) + \Re\Lambda_3(1; u, \overline{v}, u). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Setting $M_2 = 1$ in(2.7) and apply(2.4) , one find easily that

$$\frac{d}{dt}M(u,v)(t) = 0.$$

Moreover, setting $M_2 = \xi_1\xi_2, M_3 = 1$ in (2.8) and apply (2.4)(2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}H(u,v) =& i\Lambda_3(\xi_{12}\xi_3; \overline{u}, v, \overline{u}) - i\Lambda_3(\xi_1\xi_{23}; u, \overline{v}, u) + \frac{i\alpha}{2}\Lambda_3(\xi_{12}\xi_3; u, u, \overline{v}) \\ &-\frac{i\alpha}{2}\Lambda_3(\xi_1\xi_{23}; v, \overline{u}, \overline{u}) + \Re\left(-i\Lambda_3(h_3; u, \overline{v}, u) - i\Lambda_4(1; v, \overline{u}, \overline{v}, u)\right) \\ &+\Re\left(\frac{i}{2}\Lambda_4(1; u, \overline{u}, \overline{u}, u) - i\Lambda_4(1; u, \overline{v}, \overline{u}, v)\right) \\ =& 2\Im\Lambda_3(\xi_{12}\xi_3; u, \overline{v}, u) + \alpha\Im\Lambda_3(\xi_2^2; u, \overline{v}, u) + \Im\Lambda_3(h_3; u, \overline{v}, u) \\ =& \Im\Lambda_3(-(\xi_1^2 - \xi_3^2 + \alpha\xi_2^2 + h_3; u, \overline{v}, u)) \\ =& 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where in the computation above, we have exploit the symmetric property several times.

3. Local Cauchy Problem

To prove the local well-posed of the system, the crucial point is to obtain the following bilinear estimates:

$$\|\overline{u}v\|_{X^{s,b-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}\|v\|_{X_\alpha^{s,b}}, \|u^2\|_{X_\alpha^{s,b-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}^2$$

On Γ_3, in both $\overline{u}v$ and uv cases, if the parameter $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, the resonance functions

$$\xi_1^2 - \alpha\xi_2^2 + \xi_3^2 = (1-\alpha)\left(\xi_1 - \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi_3\right)^2 + \frac{1-2\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi_3^2,$$

$$-\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 + \alpha\xi_3^2 = -(1-\alpha)\left(\xi_1 - \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi_2\right)^2 - \frac{1-2\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi_2^2$$

have no zeros unless all the frequencies vanish. Then one find easily that the magnitude of both expressions are bounded below by $\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \xi_3^2$, up to some constant. Therefore, in small parameter case($\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$), we expect the local well-posed result to H^s for $s > -\frac{3}{4}$. However, if $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, the resonance may violate the bilinear estimate when $s < 0$. The proofs are in spirit the same as in [5]. For convenient of readers, we give the details. We begin with some counterexamples.

3.1. **Counterexamples of bilinear estimates.**

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, then the estimates*

$$\|\overline{u}v\|_{X^{s,b-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}\|v\|_{X_\alpha^{s,b}}, \|u^2\|_{X_\alpha^{s,b-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}^2$$

can not hold for any $s < 0, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We just give the counterexample for the first inequality, one may work in the same way for the second.

Pick $N \gg 1$, and let

$$A_1 := \{(\tau_1, \xi_1) : |\xi_1 + N| \lesssim N^{-\beta}, |\tau_1 + \xi_1^2| \lesssim 1\},$$
$$A_2 := \{(\tau_2, \xi_1) : |\xi_2 - 2N| \lesssim N^{-\beta}, |\tau_2 - \frac{1}{2}\xi_2^2| \lesssim 1\},$$
$$A_3 := \{(\tau_3, \xi_3) : |\xi_3 - N| \lesssim N^{-\beta}, |\tau_3 - \xi_3^2| \lesssim 1\}.$$

We claim that $\chi_{A_1} * \chi_{A_2}(\tau, \xi) \gtrsim N^{-\beta}\chi_{A_3}(\tau, \xi)$.

Indeed, take $(\tau, \xi) \in A_3$, for any $(\tau_1, \xi_1) \in A_1$, we have

$$|\xi - \xi_1 - 2N| \leq |\xi - N| + |\xi_1 + N| \lesssim N^{-\beta},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\tau - \tau_1 - \frac{1}{2}(\xi - \xi_1)^2\right| &\leq |\tau - \xi^2| + |\tau_1 + \xi_1^2| + \left|\xi^2 + \xi_1^2 - \frac{(\xi - \xi_1)^2}{2}\right| \\ &\lesssim 1 + 1 + |\xi + \xi_1|^2 \\ &\lesssim 1 + |\xi - N|^2 + |\xi_1 + N|^2 \\ &\lesssim 1.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $(\tau - \tau_1, \xi - \xi_1) \in A_2$, thus

$$\chi_{A_1} * \chi_{A_2} \gtrsim N^{-\beta}\chi_{A_3}(\tau, \xi).$$

Now take $\widehat{\overline{u}}(\tau, \xi) = \chi_{A_1}(\tau, \xi), \widehat{v}(\tau, \xi) = \chi_{A_2}(\tau, \xi)$ as test functions. We calculate that

$$\|v\|_{X^{s,b}}^2 \sim \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}^2 \lesssim N^{2s-\beta},$$

while

$$\|\overline{u}v\|_{X^{s,b-1}}^2 \gtrsim \iint \langle\xi\rangle^{2s}\langle\tau - \xi^2\rangle^{2(b-1)}(\chi_{A_1} * \chi_{A_2})^2(\tau, \xi)d\tau d\xi \gtrsim N^{2s-3\beta}.$$

If the bilinear estimate holds, we must have

$$N^{s-\frac{3\beta}{2}} \lesssim N^{2s-\beta},$$

we let $\beta = 0$, and then $s \geq 0$ must holds. ∎

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$, then the estimates*

$$\|\overline{u}v\|_{X^{s,b-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}\|v\|_{X_\alpha^{s,b}}, \|u^2\|_{X_\alpha^{s,b-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}^2$$

can not hold for any $s < -\frac{1}{2}, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. For the case $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$, the construction is similar, provided that we choose $\beta = 1$ in the example above. To be more precise, we define

$$A_1 := \{(\tau_1, \xi_1) : |\xi_1 + N| \lesssim N^{-1}, |\tau_1 + \xi_1^2| \lesssim 1\},$$
$$A_2 := \left\{(\tau_2, \xi_1) : \left|\xi_2 - \frac{2N}{1 + \sqrt{2\alpha - 1}}\right| \lesssim N^{-1}, |\tau_2 - \alpha\xi_2^2| \lesssim 1\right\},$$
$$A_3 := \left\{(\tau_3, \xi_3) : \left|\xi_3 - N\left(\frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{2\alpha - 1}} - 1\right)\right| \lesssim N^{-1}, |\tau_3 - \xi_3^2| \lesssim 1\right\}.$$

Define $\widehat{\overline{u}}(\tau, \xi) = \chi_{A_1}(\tau, \xi), \widehat{v}(\tau, \xi) = \chi_{A_2}(\tau, \xi)$, and we have from direct calculation that

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}} \sim \|v\|_{X_\alpha^{s,b}} \sim N^{2s-1},$$

and

$$\chi_{A_1} * \chi_{A_2}(\tau,\xi) \sim \frac{1}{N}\chi_{A_3}(\tau,\xi),$$

follows from the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}|\xi^2+\xi_1^2-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2| =& \left|\xi_1+\frac{1-\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{2}(\xi-\xi_1)\right|\left|\xi_1+\frac{1+\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{2}(\xi-\xi_1)\right|\\ \lesssim& 1, \forall(\tau_1,\xi_1)\in A_1, (\tau,\xi)\in A_3.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|\langle\xi\rangle^s\langle\tau-\xi^2\rangle^{b-1}\widehat{\overline{u}} * \widehat{v}\|_{L^2} \gtrsim N^{s-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Again, if the bilinear estimate holds, we must have

$$s-\frac{3}{2} \leq 2s-1, \Longleftrightarrow s \geq -\frac{1}{2}.$$

■

Proposition 3.3. *If $0<\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$, then the bilinear estimates can not hold when $s \leq -\frac{3}{4}$ for any $b\in\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Define

$$\begin{aligned}A_1 :=& \{(\tau_1,\xi_1) : -N-N^{-1} \leq \xi_1 \leq -N, |\tau_1+\xi_1^2| \lesssim 1\},\\ A_2 :=& \left\{(\tau_2,\xi_1) : N \leq \xi_2 \leq N+N^{-1}, |\tau_2-\alpha\xi_2|^2 \leq 1+3C/2\right\},\\ A_3 :=& \left\{(\tau_3,\xi_3) : -N^{-1} \leq \xi_3 \leq -(2N)^{-1}, -(1-\alpha)N^2 \leq \tau_3-\xi_3^2 \leq -(1-\alpha)N^2+C\right\}\end{aligned}$$

Now we claim that $\chi_{A_1} * \chi_{A_2} \gtrsim N^{-1}\chi_{A_3}$.

Indeed, fix any $(\tau,\xi)\in A_3$, for any

$$(\tau_1,\xi_1) \in A_1 \cap \{(\tau_1,\xi_1) : -N^{-1}-N \leq \xi_1 \leq -N-(2N)^{-1}\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2| =& |(\tau-\xi^2)-(\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2-\xi_1^2-\xi^2)-(\tau_1+\xi_1)^2|\\ \leq& 1+|\tau-\xi^2-(\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2-\xi_1^2-\xi^2)|,\end{aligned}$$

and one find that

$$-C \leq \tau+\xi_1^2-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2 \leq \frac{3C}{2}$$

if we suitably choosing C, thanks to the constraint that

$$(1-\alpha)N^2-C \leq \xi_1^2-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2 \leq (1-\alpha)N^2+C.$$

This implies that $(\tau-\tau_1,\xi-\xi_1)\in A_2$, thus

$$\int \chi_{A_1}(\tau_1,\xi_1)\chi_{A_2}(\tau-\tau_1,\xi-\xi_1)d\tau_1 d\xi_1 \gtrsim N^{-1},$$

if $(\tau,\xi)\in A_3$. Now let $\widehat{\overline{u}}(\tau,\xi)=\chi_{A_1}(\tau,\xi), \widehat{v}(\tau,\xi)=\chi_{A_2}(\tau,\xi)$, we have

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}}\|v\|_{X_\alpha^{s,b}} \lesssim N^{2s-1},$$

while

$$\|\langle\xi\rangle^2\langle\tau-\xi^2\rangle^{b-1}\widehat{\overline{u}} * v\|_{L^2_{\tau,\xi}} \gtrsim \frac{N^{2(b-1)}}{N^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

If the bilinear estimates $\|\overline{u}v\|_{X^{s,b-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}\|v\|_{X_\alpha^{s,b}}$ holds, we must have

$$N^{2(b-1)-\frac{3}{2}} \lesssim N^{2s-1}, \Longleftrightarrow s \geq b-\frac{5}{4}.$$

If we have $s \leq -\frac{3}{4}$, then b must satisfies $b \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Now we assume that $s = -\frac{3}{4}$,$b = \frac{1}{2}$ and we will construct a counterexample inspired by [7] for treating the failure of end-point Bourgain space bilinear estimates for kdv equation. Fix two large numbers m, N with $4^m \ll N$. We define for $j = 0, 1, ..., m-1$:

$$A_{1,j} = \left\{(\tau_1, \xi_1) : -N - \frac{4^{m-10}}{N} \leq \xi_1 \leq -N, 4^{j-2} \leq |\tau_1 + \xi_1^2| < 4^{j-1}\right\},$$

$$A_{2,j} = \left\{(\tau_2, \xi_2) : N \leq \xi_2 \leq N + \frac{4^{m-10}}{N}, 4^{j-3} \leq |\tau_2 - \alpha\xi_2^2| < 4^{j-2}\right\},$$

$$A_3 = \left\{(\tau, \xi) : \frac{4^{m-10}}{2N} \leq \xi < \frac{4^{m-10}}{N}, 4^{m-1} < \tau - \xi^2 + (1-\alpha)N^2 < 4^{m+1}\right\},$$

$$A_{1,m} = \left\{(\tau_1, \xi_1) : -N - \frac{4^{m-10}}{N} \leq \xi_1 \leq -N, 4^{m-2} \leq |\tau_1 + \xi_1^2| < 4^{m+2}\right\},$$

$$A_{2,m} = \left\{(\tau_2, \xi_2) : N \leq \xi_2 \leq N + \frac{4^{m-10}}{N}, 4^{m-3} \leq |\tau_2 - \alpha\xi_2^2| < 4^{m-2}\right\}.$$

We claim that

$$\chi_{A_{1,m}} * \chi_{A_2,j}(\tau, \xi) \gtrsim |A_{2,j}|\chi_{A_3}(\tau, \xi).$$

Indeed, fix any $(\tau, \xi) \in A_3$, and $(\tau_2, \xi_2) \in A_{2,j}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau - \tau_2 + (\xi - \xi_2)^2 &= (\tau - \xi^2) - (\tau_2 - \alpha\xi_2^2) + 2\left(\xi - \frac{\xi_2}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha\right)\xi_2^2 \\ &= \tau - \xi^2 - (\tau_2 - \alpha\xi_2^2) + (1-\alpha)N^2 + 2\xi\xi_2 + 2\xi^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Notice that $|\tau_2 - \alpha\xi_2^2| \leq 4^{m-2}$,$|2\xi\xi_2 + 2\xi^2| \leq 4^{m-9}$, we have

$$4^{m-2} < |\tau - \tau_2 + (\xi - \xi_2)^2| < 4^{m+2}.$$

The claim then follows from the definition of convolution.

Now we set

$$\widehat{\overline{u}}(\tau_1, \xi_1) = N^{\frac{5}{4}} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} 4^{-j-\frac{m}{2}} a_j \chi_{A_{1,j}}(\tau_1, \xi_1),$$

$$\widehat{v}(\tau_2, \xi_2) = N^{\frac{5}{4}} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} 4^{-j-\frac{m}{2}} a_j \chi_{A_{2,j}}(\tau_2, \xi_2)$$

Therefore,

$$\widehat{\overline{u}} * \widehat{v}(\tau, \xi) \geq N^{\frac{5}{2}} a_m \left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} 4^{-j-2m} a_j \chi_{A_{2,j}}\right) * \chi_{A_{1,m}}.$$

We note that

$$|A_{1,j}| \sim |A_{2,j}| \sim 4^{m+j} N^{-1}, |A_{1,m}| \sim |A_3| \sim 4^{2m} N^{-1},$$

thus

$$\|u\|_{X^{-\frac{3}{4},\frac{1}{2}}} \sim \|v\|_{X_\alpha^{-\frac{3}{4},\frac{1}{2}}} \sim \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j^2\right)^{1/2},$$

and we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\|\langle\xi\rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}\langle\tau-\xi^2\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}\widehat{\overline{u}}*\widehat{v}\|_{L^2}^2 \gtrsim & N^{-2}N^5a_m^2\\ & \times\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\sum_{0\le j\le m}4^{-j-2m}a_j^2\chi_{A_{2,j}}*\chi_{A_{1,m}}(\tau,\xi)\right)^2d\tau d\xi\\ \gtrsim & N^3a_m^2\left(\sum_{0\le j\le m}a_j4^{-m}N^{-1}\right)^2|A_3|\\ \sim & a_m^2\left(\sum_{0\le j\le m}a_j\right)^2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

The necessary condition for the bilinear estimate is the inequality

$$a_m\sum_{0\le j\le m}a_j\lesssim\sum_{0\le j\le m}a_j^2,$$

for any sequence $\{a_j\}_{0\le j\le m}$. This is obvious a contradiction, for example, we may choose $a_j=\frac{1}{1+j},0\le j\le m-1$, and $a_m=1$. ■

3.2. **bilinear estimates for $0<\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$.**

Proposition 3.4. *If $0<\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$, then we have for $s>-\frac{3}{4}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\overline{u}v\|_{X^{s,-\frac{1}{2}+}}\lesssim\|u\|_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}}\|v\|_{X_\alpha^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}},\\ &\|u^2\|_{X_\alpha^{s,-\frac{1}{2}+}}\lesssim\|u\|^2_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}}.\end{aligned}$$

The bilinear and multi-linear estimates can be proved in plenty of methods. Here we prefer to mimic the elementary proof in [5](see also [6] for kdv equation). We need the following calculus inequalities:

Lemma 3.5. *There exists $C>0$, such that for $\frac{1}{2}<l<1,A,B\in\mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dx}{\langle x-A\rangle^{2l}\langle x-B\rangle^{2l}}dx\le\frac{C}{\langle A-B\rangle^{2l}}.\\ &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dx}{\langle x\rangle^{2l}\sqrt{|A-x|}}dx\le\frac{C}{\langle A\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}.\\ &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dx}{\langle x-A\rangle^{2(1-l)}\langle x-B\rangle^{2l}}dx\le\frac{C}{\langle A-B\rangle^{2(1-l)}}.\\ &\int_{-B}^{B}\frac{dx}{\langle x\rangle^{2(1-l)}\sqrt{|A-x|}}dx\le\frac{C\langle B\rangle^{2l-1}}{\langle A\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

We will only give the proof of the first inequality, since the second inequality follows in the same way. We write $\rho=-s>0$. From duality, we only need to prove

the following: (for some $b \in (1/2, 1)$)

$$\begin{aligned}&\iint \frac{\langle \xi_1\rangle^{\rho}\langle \xi-\xi_1\rangle^{\rho}\langle \xi\rangle_1^{-\rho}(\tau_1,\xi_1)f_2(\tau-\tau_1,\xi-\xi_1)f_3(\tau,\xi)}{\langle \tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^b\langle \tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2\rangle^b\langle \tau-\xi^2\rangle^{1-b}} f d\tau d\xi d\tau_1 d\xi_1 \\ &\lesssim \prod_{j=1}^{3}\|f_j\|_{L^2_{\tau,\xi}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Using triangle inequality, we have the following modulation estimate (key!):

$$\max\{|\tau_1+\xi_1^2|, |\tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2|, |\tau-\xi^2|\} \gtrsim \xi_1^2+(\xi-\xi_1)^2+\xi^2,$$

thanks to $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. In order to write the estimate more clean, we define

$$C_1(\tau,\xi) := \{(\tau_1,\xi_1) : |\tau-\xi^2| \geq |\tau_1+\xi_1^2|, |\tau-\xi^2| \geq |\tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2|\},$$

$$C_2(\tau_1,\xi_1) := \{(\tau,\xi) : |\tau_1+\xi_1^2| \geq |\tau-\xi^2|, |\tau_1+\xi_1^2| \geq |\tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2|\},$$

Changing the role of (τ_1,ξ_1) and $(\tau-\tau_1,\xi-\xi_1)$, we are motivated to define

$$C_3(\tau_1,\xi_1) := \{(\tau,\xi) : |\tau_1-\alpha\xi_1^2| \geq |\tau-\xi^2|, |\tau_1-\alpha\xi_1^2| \geq |\tau-\tau_1+(\xi-\xi_1)^2|\}.$$

We set

$$J_{C_1}(\tau,\xi) := \frac{1}{\langle \xi\rangle^{2\rho}\langle \tau-\xi^2\rangle^{2(1-b)}}\int_{C_1}\frac{\langle \xi_1\rangle^{2\rho}\langle \xi-\xi_1\rangle^{2\rho}}{\langle \tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b}\langle \tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2\rangle^{2b}}d\tau_1 d\xi_1,$$

and

$$J_{C_2}(\tau_1,\xi_1) := \frac{\langle \xi_1\rangle^{2\rho}}{\langle \tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b}}\int_{C_2}\frac{\langle \xi-\xi_1\rangle^{2\rho}}{\langle \tau-\xi^2\rangle^{2(1-b)}\langle \tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2\rangle^{2b}\langle \xi\rangle^{2\rho}}d\tau d\xi,$$

$$J_{C_3}(\tau_1,\xi_1) := \frac{\langle \xi_1\rangle^{2\rho}}{\langle \tau_1-\alpha\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b}}\int_{C_3}\frac{\langle \xi-\xi_1\rangle^{2\rho}}{\langle \tau-\xi^2\rangle^{2(1-b)}\langle \tau-\tau_1+(\xi-\xi_1)^2\rangle^{2b}\langle \xi\rangle^{2\rho}}d\tau d\xi.$$

To estimate (3.3), we divide the space-time frequencies into three cases, displayed by C_1, C_2, C_3, and we can write (assume that $f_j \geq 0, j = 1, 2, 3$) and estimate by Cauchy-Schwartz

$$\begin{aligned}&\iint \frac{\langle \xi_1\rangle^{\rho}\langle \xi-\xi_1\rangle^{\rho}\langle \xi\rangle^{-\rho}f_1(\tau_1,\xi_1)f_2(\tau-\tau_1,\xi-\xi_1)f_3(\tau,\xi)}{\langle \tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^b\langle \tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2\rangle^b\langle \tau-\xi^2\rangle^{1-b}}d\tau d\xi d\tau_1 d\xi_1 \\ &= \int \frac{f_3(\tau,\xi)}{\langle \xi\rangle^{\rho}\langle \tau-\xi^2\rangle^{1-b}}d\tau d\xi \int_{C_1}\frac{\langle \xi_1\rangle^{\rho}\langle \xi-\xi_1\rangle^{\rho}f_1(\tau_1,\xi_1)f_2(\tau-\tau_1,\xi-\xi_1)}{\langle \tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^b\langle \tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2\rangle^b}d\tau_1 d\xi_1 \\ &+ \int \frac{f_1(\tau_1,\xi_1)\langle \xi_1\rangle^{\rho}}{\langle \tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^b}d\tau_1 d\xi_1 \int_{C_2}\frac{\langle \xi-\xi_1\rangle^{\rho}f_2(\tau-\tau_1,\xi-\xi_1)f_3(\tau,\xi)}{\langle \tau-\xi^2\rangle^{1-b}\langle \tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2\rangle^b\langle \xi\rangle^{\rho}}d\tau d\xi \\ &+ \int \frac{f_2(\tau_1,\xi_1)\langle \xi_1\rangle^{\rho}}{\langle \tau_1-\alpha\xi_1^2\rangle^b}d\tau_1 d\xi_1 \int_{C_3}\frac{\langle \xi-\xi_1\rangle^{\rho}f_1(\tau-\tau_1,\xi-\xi_1)f_3(\tau,\xi)}{\langle \tau-\xi^2\rangle^{1-b}\langle \tau-\tau_1+(\xi-\xi_1)^2\rangle^b\langle \xi\rangle^{\rho}}d\tau d\xi \\ &\leq \int f_3(\tau,\xi)\|f_1(\tau_1,\xi_1)f_2(\tau-\tau_1,\xi-\xi_1)\|_{L^2_{\tau_1,\xi_1}}J_{C_1}(\tau,\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}}d\tau d\xi \\ &+ \int f_1(\tau_1,\xi_1)\|f_3(\tau,\xi)f_2(\tau-\tau_1,\xi-\xi_1)\|_{L^2_{\tau,\xi}}J_{C_2}(\tau_1,\xi_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}d\tau_1 d\xi_1 \\ &+ \int f_2(\tau_1,\xi_1)\|f_3(\tau,\xi)f_1(\tau-\tau_1,\xi-\xi_1)\|_{L^2_{\tau,\xi}}J_{C_3}(\tau_1,\xi_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}d\tau_1 d\xi_1\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

To close the argument, we only need to show that $J_{C_k} \in L^{\infty}_{\tau,\xi}$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$ and apply Cauchy-Schwartz one more time.

Proposition 3.6. $J_{C_1}(\tau,\xi)\lesssim 1$.

Proof. Apply Lemma3.5 for τ_1 integration, we bound J_{C_1} by

$$\frac{1}{\langle\xi\rangle^{2\rho}\langle\tau-\xi^2\rangle^{2(1-b-\rho)}}\int\frac{\chi_{|\tau-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2+\xi_1^2|\leq 2|\tau-\xi^2|}}{\langle\tau-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2+\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b}}d\xi_1.$$

Here we have used the modulation estimate to compensate the spatial derivative by dispersive derivative.

Making the change of variable

$$\eta_1=\tau-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2+\xi_1^2,$$

we have

$$d\xi_1=\frac{Cd\eta_1}{\sqrt{\left|\eta_1+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi^2-\tau\right|}}.$$

Apply the second inequality in Lemma3.5, we have

$$J_{C_1}\lesssim\frac{1}{\langle\xi\rangle^{2\rho}\langle\tau-\xi^2\rangle^{2(1-b-\rho)}\langle\tau-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi^2\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

which is bounded, provided $\rho+b\leq\frac{5}{4}$ and $\rho\leq 2(1-b)$, thanks to the fact $\alpha<1-\alpha$. ∎

Proposition 3.7. $J_{C_2}(\tau_1,\xi_1)\lesssim 1$.

Proof. We write $J_{C_2}:=J'_{C_2}+J''_{C,2}$, where J'_{C_2} be the integration over $|\xi|\gtrsim|\xi_1|$, and J''_{C_2} be the integration over $|\xi|\ll|\xi_1|$. We apply Lemma3.5 and perform the changing of variable as before to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}J'_{C,2}&\lesssim\frac{1}{\langle\tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b-\rho}}\int_{C_2,|\xi|\gtrsim|\xi_1|}\frac{d\tau d\xi}{\langle\tau-\xi^2\rangle^{2(1-b)}\langle\tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2\rangle^{2b}}\\&\lesssim\frac{1}{\langle\tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b-\rho}}\int_{|\eta|\leq 2|\tau_1+\xi_1^2|}\frac{d\eta}{\langle\eta\rangle^{2(1-b)}\sqrt{\left|\eta+\tau_1+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi_1^2\right|}}\\&\lesssim\frac{1}{\langle\tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^{1-\rho}\langle\tau_1+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi_1^2\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}\lesssim 1,\end{aligned}$$

provided $\rho<\frac{3}{4}$. For the second term, We first deal with the high-modulation case:

$$|h(\xi,\xi_1)|=|\xi^2-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2+\xi_1^2|\ll|\tau_1+\xi_1^2|.$$

Note that in this case, we must have

$$|\tau_1+\xi_1^2|\lesssim|\tau_1+\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2-\xi^2|,$$

thus we apply Lemma3.5 to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}J''_{C_2}&\lesssim\frac{\langle\xi_1\rangle^{4\rho}}{\langle\tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b}}\int_{|\xi|\ll|\xi_1|}\frac{d\xi}{\langle\tau_1+\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2-\xi^2\rangle^{2(1-b)}}\\&\lesssim\frac{\langle\xi_1\rangle^{4\rho}}{\langle\tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b}}\cdot\frac{|\xi_1|}{\langle\tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^{2(1-b)}}\\&\lesssim\langle\xi_1\rangle^{4\rho-3}\\&\lesssim 1\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

provided that $\rho < \frac{3}{4}$.

The low-modulation case is a little complicated, note that in this case we have

$$|h| \sim |\tau_1 + \xi_1^2| \sim |\xi_1|^2.$$

We use the modulation estimate $|\tau_1 + \xi_1^2| \gtrsim |\xi_1|^2$ to estimate

$$J''_{C_2} \lesssim \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{4\rho-4b} \int_{|\xi| \ll |\xi_1|, |\tau_1+\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2-\xi^2| \leq 2|\tau_1+\xi_1^2|} \frac{d\xi}{\langle \xi \rangle^{2\rho} \langle \tau_1 + \alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2 - \xi^2 \rangle^{2(1-b)}}.$$

We may assume that $a^2 := \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\left(\tau_1 + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi_1^2\right) > 0$ (if this quantity is non-positive, we have much easier consequence).

Making the change of variable

$$\eta := \tau_1 + \alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2 - \xi^2 = (1-\alpha)\left(\xi + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi_1\right)^2 - \left(\tau_1 + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi_1^2\right),$$

and we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} J''_{C_2} &\lesssim \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{4\rho-4b} \int_{|\eta| \leq 2|\tau_1+\xi_1^2|, |\sqrt{|\eta+a^2|} - \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi_1| \ll |\xi_1|} \frac{d\xi}{\langle \eta \rangle^{2(1-b)} \sqrt{|\eta + a^2|}} \\ &\lesssim \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{4\rho-4b-1} \int_{|\eta| \leq 2|\tau_1+\xi_1^2|} \frac{d\eta}{\langle \eta \rangle^{2(1-b)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have use the observation that $|\eta + a^2| \sim |\xi_1|$ in the second inequality above, thanks to the constraint $\left|\sqrt{|\eta+a^2|} - \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi_1\right| \ll |\xi_1|$. Finally, we estimate the last integral simply by

$$J''_{C,2} \lesssim \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{4\rho-4b-1} \langle \tau_1 + \xi_1^2 \rangle^{2b-1} \lesssim \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{4\rho-3} \lesssim 1,$$

provided that $\rho < \frac{3}{4}$. ∎

Proposition 3.8. $J_{C_3} \lesssim 1$.

Proof. In fact, this situation is similar as the estimate for J_{C_2}. We just give the sketch here.

We have the resonance function

$$h(\xi, \xi_1) = 2\left(\xi - \frac{1}{2}\xi_1\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha\right)\xi_1^2.$$

Split J_{C_3}into J'_{C_3} and J''_{C_3},corresponding to the cases $|\xi| \gtrsim |\xi_1|$ and $|\xi| \ll |\xi_1|$. To estimate J''_{C_3}, we further consider high-and low modulation cases. For the high-modulation case $|\tau_1 - \alpha\xi_2^2| \gg \langle \xi_1 \rangle^2$, we do exactly the same as in the previous proof. For the low-modulation case, we must have $|h| \sim |\tau_1 - \alpha\xi_1^2|$. Repeat the previous argument line by line, changing $\tau_1 + \xi_1^2$ to $\tau_1 - \alpha\xi_1^2$ and $\tau - \tau_1 - \alpha(\xi - \xi_1)^2$ to $\tau - \tau_1 + (\xi - \xi_1)^2$ everywhere. Finally we conclude that $J''_{C_3} \lesssim 1$.
Now for the term J'_{C_3}, we again repeat the same argument, by obvious changing of the notations. ∎

Combine the three propositions above, we complete the proof of Proposition3.3.

3.3. **bilinear estimates for $\frac{1}{2}<\alpha<1$.**

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose $\frac{1}{2}<\alpha<1$, and $s=-\rho\geq-\frac{1}{2}, b>\frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$\|\overline{u}v\|_{X^{s,b-1}}\lesssim\|u\|_{X^{s,b}}\|v\|_{X^{s,b}_{\alpha}}.$$

From the previous subsection, we only need to find the suitable range of ρ and b so that J_{C_1}, J_{C_2}, and J_{C_3} are bounded. Notice that the modulation estimate now becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\max\{|\tau-\xi^2|,|\tau_1+\xi_1^2|,|\tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2|\}\\ \gtrsim&(1-\alpha)\left|\xi_1-\frac{\alpha-\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha}\xi\right|\left|\xi_1-\frac{\alpha+\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha}\xi\right|.\end{aligned}$$

Estimate of J_{C_1}:

$$C_1=\{(\tau_1,\xi_1):|\tau-\xi^2|=\max\{|\tau-\xi^2|,|\tau_1+\xi_1^2|,|\tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2|\}\},$$

$$J_{C_1}=\langle\xi\rangle^{-2\rho}\langle\tau-\xi^2\rangle^{-2(1-b)}\int_{C_1}\frac{\langle\xi_1\rangle^{2\rho}\langle\xi-\xi_1\rangle^{2\rho}}{\langle\tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b}\langle\tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2\rangle^{2b}}d\tau_1 d\xi_1.$$

The first step is to estimate J_{C_1}: We may restrict ourselves to the situation that $|\xi|\geq 10$.

Notice that the resonance occurs only in the situation that $|\xi-\xi_1|\sim|\xi_1|\sim|\xi|$. Another situation when $|\xi_1|\ll|\xi-\xi_1|$, $|\xi-\xi_1|\ll|\xi_1|$ or $|\xi|\ll|\xi_1|$ can be dealt with the same way as in the proof of Proposition 3.6.

Thus we may bound the resonance part of J_{C_1} by

$$\frac{\langle\xi\rangle^{2\rho}}{\langle\tau-\xi^2\rangle^{2(1-b)}}\int\frac{\chi_{|\tau-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2+\xi_1^2|\leq 2|\tau-\xi^2|}}{\langle\tau-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2+\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b}}d\xi_1. \tag{3.6}$$

Making the same changing of variable as in the proof of Proposition 3.6,

$$\eta_1=\tau-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2+\xi_1^2, d\xi_1=\frac{Cd\eta_1}{\sqrt{|\eta_1+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi^2-\tau|}}.$$

Then apply the second inequality in Lemma 3.5, we have

$$(3.6)\lesssim\frac{\langle\xi\rangle^{2\rho}}{\langle\tau-\xi^2\rangle^{2(1-b)}\langle\tau-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi^2\rangle^{1/2}}.$$

For $|\xi|\geq 10$, we bound

$$\langle\xi\rangle^{2\rho}\lesssim\langle\xi^2\rangle^{\rho}\lesssim\langle\tau-\xi^2\rangle^{\rho}\langle\tau-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi^2\rangle^{\rho},$$

and this implies that

$$J_{C_1}\lesssim 1, \text{provided that } \rho\leq\frac{1}{2}.$$

estimate of J_{C_2}:

Now come to

$$J_{C_2}(\tau_1,\xi_1)=\frac{\langle\xi_1\rangle^{2\rho}}{\langle\tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b}}\int_{C_2}\frac{\langle\xi-\xi_1\rangle^{2\rho}\langle\xi\rangle^{-2\rho}}{\langle\tau-\xi^2\rangle^{2(1-b)}\langle\tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2\rangle^{2b}}d\tau d\xi,$$

where

$$C_2(\tau_1,\xi_1)=\{(\tau,\xi):|\tau_1+\xi_1|^2=\max\{|\tau-\xi^2|,|\tau_1+\xi_1^2|,|\tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2|\}\}.$$

As in the proof of Proposition3.7, we decompose $J_{C_2} = J'_{C_2} + J''_{C_2}$. The only difference here is the estimate of J'_{C_2}, since no resonance can occur in the integral of J''_{C_2} and one can follow exactly the same argument as in the Proposition3.7.

Perform the same changing of variable and apply the third and fourth inequality in Lemma3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J'_{C_2} &\lesssim \frac{\langle \xi_1\rangle^{2\rho}}{\langle \tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b}} \int_{|\eta|\le 2|\tau_1+\xi_1^2|} \frac{d\eta}{\langle \eta\rangle^{2(1-b)}\sqrt{\left|\eta+\tau_1+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi_1^2\right|}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\langle \xi_1\rangle^{2\rho}\langle \tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b-1}}{\langle \tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b}\langle \tau_1+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi_1^2\rangle^{1/2}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\langle \tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^{\rho}\langle \tau_1+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi_1^2\rangle^{\rho}}{\langle \tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^{\rho}\langle \tau_1+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\xi_1^2\rangle^{1/2}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

which is bounded, provided that $\rho \le \frac{1}{2}$.

Estimate of J_{C_3}:

$$J_{C_3}(\tau_1,\xi_1) = \frac{\langle \xi_1\rangle^{2\rho}}{\langle \tau_1-\alpha\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b}} \int_{C_3} \frac{\langle \xi-\xi_1\rangle^{2\rho}\langle \xi\rangle^{-2\rho}}{\langle \tau-\xi^2\rangle^{2(1-b)}\langle \tau-\tau_1+(\xi-\xi_1)^2\rangle^{2b}} d\tau d\xi,$$

where

$$C_3(\tau_x,\xi_1) = \{(\tau,\xi) : |\tau_1-\alpha\xi_1^2| == \max\{|\tau-\xi^2|, |\tau_1+\xi_1^2|, |\tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2|\}\}.$$

Again, we split $J_{C_3} = J'_{C_3} + J''_{C_3}$ and only take care of the resonance part J'_{C_3}. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} J'_{C_3} &\lesssim \frac{\langle \xi_1\rangle^{2\rho}}{\langle \tau_1-\alpha\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b}} \int_{|\xi^2-\tau_1+(\xi-\xi_1)^2|\le 2|\tau_1-\alpha\xi_1^2|} \frac{1}{\langle \xi^2-\tau_1+(\xi-\xi_1)^2\rangle^{2(1-b)}} d\xi \\ &\lesssim \frac{\langle \xi_1\rangle^{2\rho}}{\langle \tau_1-\alpha\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b}} \int_{|\eta|\le 2|\tau_1-\alpha\xi_1|^2} \frac{1}{\langle \eta\rangle^{2(1-b)}\sqrt{\left|\eta+\tau_1-\frac{\xi_1^2}{2}\right|}} d\eta \\ &\lesssim \frac{\langle \xi_1\rangle^{2\rho}\langle \tau_1-\alpha\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b-1}}{\langle \tau_1-\alpha\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b}\langle \tau_1-\frac{\xi^2}{2}\rangle^{1/2}} \\ &\lesssim 1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

provided that $\rho \le \frac{1}{2}$.

3.4. **local well-posedness.** For time interval I, we define the local-in time Bourgain space $X^{s,b}(I)$(similarly $X^{s,b}_\alpha(I)$) via the norm

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}(I)} := \inf\{\|u'\|_{X^{s,b}} : u'|_{t\in I} = u\}.$$

Since we work with system, it will be convenient to introduce the vector-valued Bourgain norm(and space): For $U=(u,v)$, we write

$$\|U\|_{X^{s,b}} := \|u\|_{X^{s,b}} + \|v\|_{X^{s,b}_\alpha}.$$

Theorem 3.10. *Let $0<\alpha<\frac{1}{2}, s>-\frac{3}{4}$. Then there exists $T=T(\|U_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})})>0$ and $b>\frac{1}{2}$ such that for each $U_0=(u_0,v_0)\in (H^s(\mathbb{R}^2))^2$, there exists a unique solution $U\in X^{s,b}([0,T))\subset C([0;T);(H^s(\mathbb{R}))^2)$ to (1.2). Moreover, the solution map $U_0\to U(t)$ is locally Lipschitz continous from $(H^s(\mathbb{R}))^2$ to $C([0,T);(H^s(\mathbb{R}))^2)$.*

Proof. Pick $\psi(t)$ be the time-localization bump function as before and write

$$S(t) := \begin{pmatrix} e^{it\partial_x^2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha t\partial_x^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

We define the nonlinear map ($t \in [0,T]$)

$$\phi_{U_0}(U) := \psi(t)S(t)U_0 - i\psi_T(t)\int_0^t S(t-t')\begin{pmatrix} v\overline{u} \\ \frac{u^2}{2} \end{pmatrix} dt'$$

and the set

$$\mathcal{B} := \{U \in X^{s,b} : \|U\|_{X^{s,b}} \leq 2C\|U_0\|_{H_x^s}\}.$$

In order to obtain the contraction, we select $\frac{1}{2} < b < b' < 1$ such that the bilinear estimate Proposition3.3 holds:

$$\|v\overline{u}\|_{X^{s,b'-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}\|v\|_{X_\alpha^{s,b}}, \|u^2\|_{X_\alpha^{s,b'-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}^2.$$

Combine with the inhomogenous linear estimate (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_{U_0}U\|_{X^{s,b}} &\leq C\|U_0\|_{H_x^s} + CT^{b'-b}\|U\|_{X^{s,b}}^2 \\ &\leq C\|U_0\|_{H_x^s} + 4C^2T^{b'-b}\|U_0\|_{H_x^s}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Therefore, if we choose $T > 0$ small enough, we can ensure that $\Phi_{U_0} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ is well-defined.
For the contraction part, we write $U_j = (u_j, v_j), j = 1, 2$. From the simple manipulation

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_2\overline{u_2} \\ \frac{u_2^2}{2} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} v_1\overline{u_1} \\ \frac{u_1^2}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1(\overline{u_2} - \overline{u_1}) \\ \frac{(u_2-u_1)(u_2+u_1)}{2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} (v_2-v_1)\overline{u_2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.10}$$

we can argue as above to conclude.
Finally, the uniqueness part follows from a standard argument, we omit the details here. ∎

The same robust argument yields the local well-posedness in the case $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1, s \geq -\frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists $T = T(\|U_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}) > 0$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$ such that for each $U_0 = (u_0, v_0) \in (H^s(\mathbb{R}^2))^2$, there exists a unique solution $U \in X^{s,b}([0,T)) \subset C([0;T);(H^s(\mathbb{R}))^2)$ to (1.2). Moreover, the solution map $U_0 \to U(t)$ is locally Lipschitz continous from $(H^s(\mathbb{R}))^2$ to $C([0,T);(H^s(\mathbb{R}))^2)$.*

3.5. **refined local estimates.** We will give several local estimates needed in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 3.12. *Suppose $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, then we have the following estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|I(v\overline{u})\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}} \lesssim \|Iu\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}\|Iv\|_{X_\alpha^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}, \\ &\|I(u^2)\|_{X_\alpha^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}} \lesssim \|Iu\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Again, we only give the proof of the first inequality. In fact, once we have revealed the connection between the present estimate and the bilinear estimate we have done for proving local well posedness, the reader should be clear to cover the

second inequality from Proposition3.3.
By duality, to prove the first inequality, we only need to prove

$$\iint \frac{m(\xi)}{m(\xi_1)m(\xi-\xi_1)} \frac{f_1(\tau_1,\xi_1)f_2(\tau-\tau_1,\xi-\xi_1),f_3(\tau,\xi)}{\langle \tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^b\langle \tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2\rangle^b\langle \tau-\xi^2\rangle^{1-b}} d\tau d\tau_1 d\xi d\xi_1$$
$$\lesssim \prod_{j=1}^{3} \|f_j\|_{L_{\tau,\xi}2}.$$

The worst case appears when $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi-\xi_1| \gg |\xi|$, and we hence bound $\frac{m(\xi)}{m(\xi_1)m(\xi-\xi_1)}$ by $\frac{|\xi_1|^\rho|\xi-\xi_1|^\rho}{N^\rho\langle\xi\rangle^\rho}$. Therefore, we have been back to the situation which we encounter in the proof of the first inequality of Proposition3.3. ∎

Similar estimate can be performed for the case $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$.

Lemma 3.13. *Suppose $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$, then we have the following estimate*

$$\|I(v\overline{u})\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}} \lesssim \|Iu\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \|Iv\|_{X_\alpha^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}},$$
$$\|I(u^2)\|_{X_\alpha^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}} \lesssim \|Iu\|^2_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

We now prove a refinement of the local estimates for nonlinear terms, which is needed in the proof of Proposition 9.1.

Proposition 3.14. *Suppose $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$. Then for $N_m \geq N$, we have*

$$\|P_{N_m} I(\overline{u}v)\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}} \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}+} \|Iu\|_{X^{0,\frac{3}{4}-}} \|Iv\|_{X_\alpha^{0,\frac{3}{4}-}},$$

$$\|P_{N_m} I(u^2)\|_{X_\alpha^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}} \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}+} \|Iu\|^2_{X^{0,\frac{3}{4}-}}.$$

Proof. We assume that $N_m \geq N$ be some dyadic number, and consider the following quantity

$$J_N = \iint_{|\xi|\sim N_m} \frac{m(\xi)f_1(\tau_1,\xi_1)f_2(\tau-\tau_1,\xi-\xi_1),f_3(\tau,\xi)d\tau d\tau_1 d\xi d\xi_1}{m(\xi_1)m(\xi-\xi_1)\langle \tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^b\langle \tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2\rangle^b\langle \tau-\xi^2\rangle^{1-b}}.$$

We assume that $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$. We divide the integral into several parts:
case 1:$|\xi_1| \sim |\xi| \sim N_m \ll |\xi-\xi_1|$($|\xi-\xi_1| \sim |\xi| \sim N_m \ll |\xi_1|$ is similar)
The worst case in this situation is when $|\xi-\xi_1| \ll N$. We define

$$D_1(\tau,\xi) = C_1(\tau,\xi) \cap \{(\tau_1,\xi_1) : |\xi-\xi_1| \ll N \leq N_m \sim |\xi_1| \sim |\xi-\xi_1|\},$$
$$D_2(\tau_1,\xi_1) = C_2(\tau_1,\xi_1) \cap \{(\tau,\xi) : |\xi-\xi_1| \ll N \leq N_m \sim |\xi_1| \sim |\xi-\xi_1|\},$$
$$D_3(\tau_1,\xi_1) = C_3(\tau_1,\xi_1) \cap \{(\tau,\xi) : |\xi-\xi_1| \ll N \leq N_m \sim |\xi_1| \sim |\xi-\xi_1|\},$$

with C_j be the same set as in the proof of Proposition3.9, and we define J_{D_j} be the same expression for J_{C_j}, with the only changing of the region of integration from C_j to D_j. From the previous subsections, we know that the desired bound for J_N is just

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3} \|J_{D_j}\|_{L^\infty}^{1/2}.$$

Our aim now is to bound each J_{D_j}:
Since each D_j is non-resonance, we have

$$\max\{|\tau_1+\xi_1^2|, |\tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2|, |\tau-\xi^2|\} \gtrsim N_m^2.$$

For J_{D_1}, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{D_1} &\lesssim N_m^{-1+}\frac{1}{\langle\xi\rangle\langle\tau-\xi^2\rangle^{1/2}}\int_{D_1}\frac{\langle\xi_1\rangle\langle\xi-\xi_1\rangle}{\langle\tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^{2b}\langle\tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1^2)\rangle^{2b}}d\tau_1 d\xi_1 \\ &\lesssim N_m^{-1+}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where we have used the same argument as we did in the proof of Proposition3.9 with the choice $\rho=\frac{1}{2}$, and note that in the estimate above, we could choose $b=\frac{3}{4}-$.

The estimates of J_{D_2} and J_{D_3} is much simpler, since in these situations, we use

$$\frac{1}{\langle\tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}-}}\lesssim\frac{1}{N_m^{-1+}}\frac{1}{\langle\tau_1+\xi_1^2\rangle}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{\langle\tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}-}}\lesssim\frac{1}{N_m^{-1+}}\frac{1}{\langle\tau-\tau_1-\alpha(\xi-\xi_1)^2\rangle}$$

and then do the same arguments as we did for J_{C_2}, J_{C_3}.

Case 2:$|\xi_1|\sim|\xi|\sim|\xi-\xi_1|\sim N_m$ In this situation, we observe that

$$\frac{m(\xi)}{m(\xi_1)m(\xi-\xi_1)}\lesssim\frac{N_m^\rho}{N^\rho}\frac{1}{N_m^{1/2}}\frac{\langle\xi_1\rangle^{1/2}\langle\xi-\xi_1\rangle^{1/2}}{\langle\xi\rangle^{1/2}},$$

and the rest arguments are exactly the same as in the proof of Proposition3.9, with the choice of $\rho\le\frac{1}{2}$ and $b=\frac{3}{4}-$.

Case 3:$|\xi_1|\sim|\xi-\xi_1|\gg|\xi|\sim N_m$ In this situation, we still have

$$\frac{m(\xi)}{m(\xi_1)m(\xi-\xi_1)}\lesssim\frac{N_m^\rho}{N^\rho}\frac{1}{N_m^{1/2}}\frac{\langle\xi_1\rangle^{1/2}\langle\xi-\xi_1\rangle^{1/2}}{\langle\xi\rangle^{1/2}}.$$

The inequality for $P_{N_m}I(u^2)$ is similar and we omit the detail. ■

4. Set up of the I-method,$0<\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$

We mainly discuss the case $s<0$, and we denote $\rho=-s>0$.

Fix $N\gg1$, and consider the I-operator given by multiplier $m(\xi)=m_N(\xi)$:

$$\widehat{Iu}(\xi)=m(\xi)\widehat{u}(\xi)=\begin{cases}\widehat{u}(\xi),|\xi|\le N,\\ \dfrac{N^\rho}{|\xi|^\rho},|\xi|>2N.\end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

We choose the function $m(\xi)$ to be smooth, radial and non-increasing in $|\xi|$ such that

$$\begin{cases} m(\xi)\sim m(\eta),|\xi|\sim|\eta|,\\ |m'(\xi)|\sim\dfrac{m(\xi)}{|\xi|}.\end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

The following mean value inequality is also needed: If $|\eta|\ll|\xi|$,

$$|a(\xi+\eta)-a(\xi)|\lesssim|\eta|\sup_{|\xi'|\sim|\xi|}|a'(\xi')|. \tag{4.3}$$

In the following, we simplify the notation such that m_j, m_{jk} stand for $m(\xi_j), m(\xi_{jk})$, respectively, and so on.

Define the modified mass

$$E_2(t)=\frac{1}{2}\|Iu(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2+\|Iv(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2=\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2(m_1m_2;u,\overline{u})+\Lambda_2(m_1m_2;v,\overline{v}). \tag{4.4}$$

Apply (2.4), we have

$$\partial_t E_2(t) = \frac{i}{2}\left(\Lambda_3(m_2^2 - m_3^2; \overline{u}, v, \overline{u}) + \Lambda_3(m_1^2 - m_2^2; u, \overline{v}, u)\right).$$

By symmetry of the indices as well as the function $m(\xi)$, we have

$$\partial_t E_2(t) = \Im\Lambda_3(m_2^2 - m_1^2; u, \overline{v}, u).$$

If $\alpha = 1$, the almost conservation of the modification energy $E_2(t)$ allows us to obtain the global well-posedness of (1.2) for $\rho < \frac{1}{4}$. The argument is rather easy so that we decide to omit it in this paper. From now on, we always assume that $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, hence on Γ_3, one need keep in mind that

$$|\xi_1^2 - \alpha\xi_2^2 + \xi_3^2| \gtrsim \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \xi_3^2.$$

We set the 3-multiplier $\sigma_3 = \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{-ih_3}$, and consider the 1-correction of $E_2(t)$

$$E_3(t) = E_2(t) + \Im\Lambda_3(\sigma_3; u, \overline{v}, u). \tag{4.5}$$

Apply (2.5), the 3-multi-linear expression vanishes in $\partial_t E_3$ and we end up with

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t E_3(t) &= \Im\Lambda_4\left(\frac{m_1^2 + m_{23}^2 - 2m_4^2}{\xi_1^2 - \alpha\xi_4^2 + \xi_{23}^2}; u, \overline{u}; v, \overline{v}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\Im\Lambda_4\left(\frac{m_1^2 - m_{24}^2}{\xi_1^2 - \alpha\xi_{24}^2 + \xi_3^2}; u, \overline{u}; u, \overline{u}\right)\\ &= \Im R_4' - \frac{1}{2}\Im R_4''.\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

We denote R_4' for the first on the right hand side, and R_4'' for the second term of (R.H.S)(without the minus sign in the above expression). Our goal is to estimate R_4', R_4'' separately. When the parameter $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, we do need the above correction term to obtain good bound of $E_2(t)$. As the authors of [15] pointed out, it seems that more correction gives us more multi-linear expression, and higher multi-linear expressions are usually easier to be controlled. In our situation, the main advantage for higher correction is that we will gain more denominators against the nominators.

We will prove that the first modification of $E_2(t)$ has the same strength of E_2:

Lemma 4.1. *For $N \gg 1, \rho < \frac{3}{4}$, we have*

$$|E_3(t) - E_2(t)| \le CN^{-\gamma}\|Iu\|_{L_x^2}^2\|Iv\|_{L_x^2},$$

where the constant C is independent of t and γ is a positive constant.

The following two lemmas are essential to our global well-posed result.

Lemma 4.2. *If $\rho < \frac{5}{8}$, then*

$$\left|\int_T^{T+\delta} R_4'' dt\right| \le C\max\left\{\frac{1}{N^{\frac{9}{4}-}}, \frac{1}{N^{(3-2\rho)-}}\right\}\|Iu\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}^4.$$

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose $\rho < \frac{5}{8}$, then we have*

$$\left|\int_T^{T+\delta} R_4' dt\right| \le C\max\left\{\frac{1}{N^{2-}}, \frac{1}{N^{(3-2\rho)-}}\right\}\|Iu\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}^2\|Iv\|_{X_\alpha^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}^2.$$

Now we admit the three lemmas above and proceed to prove the main theorem. To simplify the notation, we consider the vector valued function $U=(u,v)$, and denote the Bourgain space norm $\|U\|_{X^{s,b}}:=\|u\|_{X^{s,b}}+\|v\|_{X^{s,b}_\alpha}$. In order to make the L^2 norm coincide with the total mass of the system (1.2), we define $\|U\|^2_{L^2_x}:=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2_{L^2_x}+\|v\|^2_{L^2_x}$. Given $T_0>0$ large, our goal is to prove that there exists $C(T_0,R)>0$, such that for $(u(t),v(t))$,regular solution to (1.2) with $\|(u(0),v(0))\|_{H^s_x}\leq R$, the priori estimate $\|(u(T_0),v(T_0))\|_{H^s_x}\leq C(T)$ holds.

Consider the scaling:

$$U_\lambda(t,x):=(u_\lambda(t,x),v_\lambda(t,x))=\frac{1}{\lambda^2}U\left(\frac{t}{\lambda^2},\frac{x}{\lambda}\right),$$

and $U_{0\lambda}=U_\lambda(0,\cdot)$. We first calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\|Iu_{0\lambda}\|^2_{L^2_x}\lesssim&\int_{|\xi|<\frac{1}{\lambda}}\frac{|\widehat{u_0(\lambda\xi)}|^2}{\lambda^2}d\xi+\int_{\frac{1}{\lambda}<|\xi|<N}\frac{|\widehat{u_0(\lambda\xi)}|^2}{\lambda^2}d\xi+\int_{|\xi|\geq N}\frac{|\widehat{N^{2\rho}u_0(\lambda\xi)}|^2}{\lambda^2|\xi|^{2\rho}}d\xi\\ \lesssim&\frac{1}{\lambda^3}\int_{|\xi|<\frac{1}{\lambda}}\lambda|\widehat{u_0}(\lambda\xi)|^2d\xi+\frac{N^{2\rho}}{\lambda^{3-2\rho}}\int_{\frac{1}{\lambda}<|\xi|<N}\frac{|\widehat{u_0}(\lambda\xi)|^2\lambda}{|\lambda\xi|^{2\rho}}d\xi\\ &+\frac{N^{2\rho}}{\lambda^{3-2\rho}}\int_{|\xi|\geq N}\frac{|\widehat{u_0}(\lambda\xi)|^2\lambda}{|\lambda\xi|^{2\rho}}d\xi\\ \lesssim&\frac{N^{2\rho}}{\lambda^{3-2\rho}}\|u_0\|^2_{H^s_x}.\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate for $Iv_{0,\lambda}$:

$$\|Iv_{0\lambda}\|^2_{L^2_x}\lesssim\frac{N^{2\rho}}{\lambda^{3-2\rho}}\|v_0\|^2_{H^s_x}.$$

We need the following lemma, which is the direct consequence of certain type of local estimate.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that the solution $U(t)=(u(t),v(t))$ to (1.2) satisfies*

$$\|Iu(T)\|_{L^2_x}+\|Iv(T)\|_{L^2_x}\leq C_0.$$

Then there exists $\delta>0,C_1>0$ depending only on C_0, such that

$$\|IU\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}([T,T+\delta])}\leq C_1.$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is rather similar as the local well-posedness. We may assume that $T=0$. Using the Duhamel's formula, we have

$$IU(t):=\psi_\delta(t)S(t)IU_0-i\psi_\delta(t)\int_0^tS(t-t')\begin{pmatrix}I(v\overline{u})\\ I\left(\frac{u^2}{2}\right)\end{pmatrix}dt'$$

Hence it suffices to prove the following bilinear estimates:

$$\|I(v\overline{u})\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}}\lesssim\|Iu\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}\|Iv\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}_\alpha},\|I(u^2)\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+}_\alpha}\lesssim\|Iu\|^2_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

These estimates are already established as Lemma 3.12. ∎

Turn to the main step, we let $\lambda=N^{\frac{2\rho}{3-2\rho}+\epsilon}$, we have that $\|IU_{0\lambda}\|_{L^2_x}\leq\epsilon_0$. (we take N large enough such that $\epsilon_0\ll 1\leq C_0$,C_0 as in Lemma4.4) From Lemma4.4, we have $\|IU_\lambda\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}([T,T+\delta])}\leq C_1$. To proceed on, we need to calculate $\|IU_\lambda(\delta)\|^2_{L^2_x}=$

$E_2(\delta)$. From Lemma4.1, Lemma4.2 and Lemma4.3, we have that $E_3(0) = E_2(0) + \frac{C}{N^\gamma}\epsilon_0^3 \leq \frac{3}{2}\epsilon_0$.

We write $\beta = 2 \wedge (3 - 2\rho)$, therefore

$$E_3(\delta) \leq E_3(0) + \frac{C}{N^{\beta-}}C_1^4.$$

Thus apply Lemma4.1 again we have

$$E_2(\delta) \leq E_3(\delta) + \frac{C}{N^\gamma}E_2(\delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

In particular, the above inequality holds for any $\delta' < \delta$ without changing of the uniform constant C and γ. Thus the continuity argument implies that (choosing $N \gg 1$ and fix for the moment) $E_3(\delta) < 2\epsilon_0, E_2(\delta) < 4\epsilon_0$. Now we claim that as long as $N^{\beta-} \gg T$, we can proceed on this argument.

We need the following elementary lemma:

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose $0 < a < \frac{1}{100}, 0 < b < \frac{1}{100}$, then there exists $\delta = \delta(a, b) > 0$, such that for any continuous function $f : [0, \delta] \to \mathbb{R}_+$, if the inequality*

$$f(t) < a + bf(t)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \forall t \in [0, \delta]$$

holds and $f(0) < 2a$, then $f(t) < 2a$ for all $t \in [0, \delta]$.

Proof. Argue by contradiction. Suppose a continuous function f satisfies the inequality and $f(0) < 2a$, and there exists a point δ_0 such that $f(\delta_0) \geq \frac{a}{2}$, then we must have a point $t_0 \leq \delta_0$ such that $f(t_0) = 2a$. Evaluate the two side of inequality at this point, we find that

$$2a < a + b(2a)^{\frac{3}{2}} < a + \frac{2a}{100 \times 50^{\frac{1}{2}}} < 2a.$$

This is a contradiction. ∎

We may assume that δ is small than that in the previous lemma, and now we start from δ to 2δ. Since $E_2(\delta) < 4\epsilon_0 < C_1$, we have $E_3(2\delta) \leq E_3(\delta) + \frac{C}{N^{\beta-}}C_1^4 < 3\epsilon_0$, and for $E_2(2\delta)$, we use the inequality

$$E_2(2\delta) < 3\epsilon_0 + \frac{C}{N^\gamma}E_2(2\delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

As long as $E_3(\delta) < 3\epsilon_0 < \frac{1}{100}$, we can apply the previous lemma to conclude that $E(2\delta) < 6\epsilon_0$. Clearly, this argument valid only for $E_3(T) < \frac{1}{100}$ and $E_2(T - \delta) < \frac{1}{100} < C_1$, which implies that up to time $T \ll N^{\beta-}$, we are for free to do the above argument.

Since $\rho < \frac{5}{8}$, one find easily that $\beta - \frac{4\rho}{3-2\rho} > 0$, and we can choose all the parameters above such that $\lambda^2 T_0 \ll N^{\beta-}$. Therefore, we have proved the following global well-posedness resultin the case $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem 4.6. *Suppose $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, $s > -\frac{5}{8}$, then for any given $(u_0, v_0) \in H^s \times H^s$, the solution to (1.2) exists globally in the sense that for any $T_0 > 0$, $(u(t), v(t)) \in C([0, T_0]; H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}))$.*

5. Set-up of I method, $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$

Consider the scaling

$$U_\lambda(t,x) := (u_\lambda(t,x), v_\lambda(t,x)) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} U\left(\frac{t}{\lambda^2}, \frac{x}{\lambda}\right),$$

and $U_{0\lambda} = U_\lambda(0,\cdot)$.

As in the last section, we have

$$\|IU_{0\lambda}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \lesssim \frac{N^{2\rho}}{\lambda^{3-2\rho}} \|v_0\|_{H_x^s}^2.$$

Given any $T_0 > 0$, we choose $N \gg 1$(the precise value will be clear in the sequel) and define the $I-$operator as usual, and then we choose $\lambda = N^{\frac{2\rho}{3-2\rho}+\epsilon}$, we have that $\|IU_{0\lambda}\|_{L_x^2} \leq \epsilon_0 \ll 1$.

Different from the situation where $0 < \alpha < 1$, we will use a long-time iteration scheme and boot-strap argument (or continuity argument). The key step is the following long-time almost conservation law, which we postpone the proof in the later sections.

Lemma 5.1. *Denote*

$$E_2[U](t) := \frac{1}{2}\|Iu(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|Iv(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2, U = (u,v)$$

be solution of (1.2).

For any $T > 0, \mu > 0, C_0 > 0$, assume that

$$\sup_{t\in[T,T+\mu]} (\|Iu(t)\|_{L_x^2} + \|Iv(t)\|_{L_x^2}) \leq C_0,$$

then there exists $C_1 > 0$, depending only on C_0, such that

$$\begin{aligned} |E_2[U](t_2) - E_2[U](t_1)| \leq & C_1 \frac{(1+|t_2-t_1|^{1/2})}{N^{1/3-}} + C_1 \frac{(1+|t_2-t_1|)^3}{N^{1-}} \\ & + C_1 \sum_{k=1}^{3} \left(\frac{(1+|t_2-t_1|)^{k-1/2}}{N^{\frac{k}{2}-}} + \frac{(1+|t_2-t_1|)^{k+1/2}}{N^{\frac{k+1}{2}-}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for any $t_1, t_2 \in [T, T+\mu]$, provided that $\rho = -s < \frac{1}{4}$.

Now we choose $C_0 \gg 1$ and $C_0 \ll N$, and define the set

$$J_\lambda := \left\{ t \in [0, 2\lambda^2 T_0] : \sup_{s\in[0,t]} E[U_\lambda](s) \leq \frac{C_0}{2} \right\}$$

Lemma 5.2. *Assume that $s = -\rho < \frac{1}{4}$. Then with the choice $\lambda = N^{\frac{2\rho}{3-2\rho}+}$, we have*

$$J_\lambda \supset [0, \lambda^2 T_0].$$

Proof. Obviously, $J_\lambda \neq \emptyset$ and J_λ contains an interval. Denote $[0, T_m] \subset [0, 2\lambda^2 T_0]$ be the maximal interval. We argue by contradiction that $T_m < \lambda^2 T_0$. We divide

$$[0, T_m] = \bigcup_{j=0}^{m-1} [t_j, t_{j+1}]$$

with $t_j = j\mu$, for $0 \le j \le m-1$ and $m = \lfloor \frac{T_m}{\mu} \rfloor$. We now set $\mu = N^\theta$ for some $\theta \in \left(0, \frac{2}{15}\right)$. With this choice, one check easily that

$$\frac{\mu^{1/2}}{N^{1/3}} \ge \max_{k=1,2,3} \left\{ \frac{\mu^3}{N}, \frac{\mu^{k-1/2}}{N^{k/2}}, \frac{\mu^{k+1/2}}{N^{(k+1)/2}} \right\}.$$

Therefore, from Lemma 5.1, we have for any $t \in [0, T_m]$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_2[U](t) \le & E_2[U](0) + \frac{mC_1}{N^{\frac{1}{3}-}} \\ \le & \epsilon_0 + \frac{C_1 T_m}{N^{(\frac{2}{15}+\frac{1}{3})-}} \\ \le & \epsilon_0 + \frac{C_1 T_0 N^{\frac{4\rho}{3-2\rho}+}}{N^{\frac{7}{15}-}} \\ \le & \frac{C_0}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

■

provided that N to be chosen large enough with respect to T_0 at the first step, thanks to the fact that $\rho < \frac{1}{4}$. However, this is a contradiction from the choice of T_m.

Now the following global well-posedness result is the direct consequence of Lemma 5.2.

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$, $s > -\frac{1}{4}$, then for any given $(u_0, v_0) \in H^s \times H^s$, the solution to (1.2) exists globally in the sense that for any $T_0 > 0$, $(u(t), v(t)) \in C([0, T_0]; H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}))$.*

6. Proof of lemma4.1

Here and in the sequel, the capital numbers N_j will always stand for dyadic numbers.

We need to obtain the point-wise bound for $|\Lambda_3(\sigma_3; u, \overline{v}, u)|$.

We let $|\xi_j| \sim N_j, j = 1, 2, 3, N_m = \max\{|\xi_1|, |\xi_2|, |\xi_3|\}$. Notice that on Γ_3,$h_3 = \xi_1^2 - \alpha\xi_2^2 + \tau_+\xi_3^2 \gtrsim \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \xi_3^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\Lambda_3(\sigma_3; u, \overline{v}, u)| \lesssim & \left| \int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{h_3} \widehat{\overline{u}}(\xi_1) \widehat{v}(\xi_2) \widehat{\overline{u}}(\xi_3) \right| \\ \lesssim & \sum_{2^k \gtrsim N} \left| \int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{h_3} \chi_{N_m \sim 2^k} \widehat{\overline{u}}(\xi_1) \widehat{v}(\xi_2) \widehat{\overline{u}}(\xi_3) \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Therefore, we need to bound each term inside the summation.

case 1:$N_3 \ll N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N_m$:

If $N_3 \ll N$, then $m_3 = 1$. From the mean value formula, $|m_1 - m_2| = |m_{23} - m_2| \lesssim N_3 m_2$. Thus we bound

$$\frac{|\sigma_3|}{m_1 m_2 m_3} \lesssim \frac{N_3}{h_3} \lesssim \frac{N_1^{-\frac{1}{6}} N_2^{-\frac{1}{6}} N_3^{-\frac{1}{6}}}{N_m^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

We set $u_1 = u_3 = \overline{u}, u_2 = v$, and let $Iw_j = P_{N_j} Iu_j, j = 2, 4$. Let $\varphi_j = \mathcal{F}^{-1}|\langle \xi_j \rangle^{-\frac{1}{6}} Iw_j|$. Undoing the Plancherel, we have

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{h_3} \chi_{N_m \sim 2^k} \widehat{\overline{u}}(\xi_1) \widehat{v}(\xi_2) \widehat{\overline{u}}(\xi_3) \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{N_m^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \prod_{j=1}^{3} \varphi_j(x) dx \right|.$$

Finally, the Sobolev embedding $H^{\frac{1}{6}}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow L^3(\mathbb{R})$ and Holder inequality yield

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{h_3} \chi_{N_m \sim 2^k} \widehat{\overline{u}}(\xi_1) \widehat{v}(\xi_2) \widehat{\overline{u}}(\xi_3) \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{N_m^{\frac{1}{2}}} \prod_{j=1}^{3} \|Iu_j\|_{L_x^2}. \tag{6.2}$$

From the above argument, we only need to bound the multiplier and then apply the same Holder argument.

If $N_3 \gtrsim N$, we can estimate $\frac{|\sigma_3|}{m_1 m_2 m_3}$ simply by $\frac{1}{h m_3}$, and this yields

$$\frac{|\sigma_3|}{m_1 m_2 m_3} \lesssim \frac{N_1^{-\frac{1}{6}} N_2^{-\frac{1}{6}} N_3^{-\frac{1}{6}}}{N^{\rho} N_m^{\frac{3}{2}-\rho}}.$$

case 2:$N_3 \sim N_1 \gg N_2$(and by symmetry $N_3 \sim N_2 \gg N_1$):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\sigma_3|}{h m_1 m_2 m_3} &\lesssim \frac{1}{m_1 m_3} \lesssim \frac{1}{N_1^{2-2\rho} N^{2\rho}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{N_1^{-\frac{1}{6}} N_2^{-\frac{1}{6}} N_3^{-\frac{1}{6}}}{N^{2\rho} N_m^{\frac{3}{2}-2\rho}}. \end{aligned}$$

case 3:$N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N_3$:

$$\frac{|\sigma_3|}{m_1 m_2 m_3} \lesssim \frac{1}{h m_1} \lesssim \frac{N_1^{-\frac{1}{6}} N_2^{-\frac{1}{6}} N_3^{-\frac{1}{6}}}{N^{2\rho} N_m^{\frac{3}{2}-\rho}}.$$

Sum over $N_m \sim 2^k \gtrsim N$ gives

$$|\Lambda_3(\sigma_3; u, \overline{v}, u)| \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{\gamma}} \|Iu\|_{L_x^2}^2 \|Iv\|_{L_x^2}.$$

This complete the proof of lemma4.1.

7. Estimates related to R_4''

Before proceeding on, we explain the general strategy for these estimates, which is rather standard now. First we split the spatial frequencies of u_j into dyadic manner $N_1, N_2, N_3, ...$ (only taking dyadic numbers which are larger than one) and attempt to estimate each term in the tedious dyadic summation. For each term, we pick a time bump function $\eta(t)$, which are closely to $\chi_{[T,T+\delta]}$, and with a small error which contributes to a factor of decay $\ll N_{max}^{-1}$(see [13] for the detail). We will proceed on with a little bit confusing with the notion on the Bourgain spaces. In the following, we will use simply $X^{s,b}$ to stand for $X^{s,b}(I)$ for the time interval $I = [T, T+\delta)$. We can indeed do so since we need a time localization bump function in each steps to make the arguments rigorous. After omitting this error term, we may concentrate ourselves to the integral

$$\int dt \int_{\Gamma_k} \Lambda_k(M_k; u_1, ..., u_k) dt = \int_{\Gamma_k(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x)} \Lambda_k(M_k; u_1, ..., u_k).$$

This allows us to compensate the loss of spatial derivative by some space-time derivative $\langle \tau_j \pm \xi_j^2 \rangle$ related closely to the resonance function $\sum_{j=1} \tau_j \pm \xi_j^2$. In the following sections, we only give estimates for the integration in the whole time axis, and the final result is corrected by a loss of arbitrarily small power of N.
Denote

$$\Lambda_4\left(M_4; u_j\right) := \Lambda_4\left(M_4; \overline{u_1}, u_2, \overline{u_3}, u_4\right)$$

The key of the I-method is to obtain the following almost conservation law:

Lemma 7.1. *If $\rho < \frac{5}{8}$, then we have*

$$\left| \int_T^{T+\delta} \Lambda_4 \left(\frac{m_4^2 - m_{13}^2}{\xi_2^2 - \alpha \xi_{13}^2 + \xi_4^2}; u_j \right) dt \right| \leq C \max \left\{ \frac{1}{N^{\frac{9}{4}-}}, \frac{1}{N^{(3-2\rho)-}} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^4 \|Iu_j\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

Proof. Take $0 < \theta \ll 1$, independent of N, N_m and denote $N_m \sim \max\{|\xi_1|, |\xi_2|, |\xi_3|, |\xi_4|\}$, and define the non-resonance set

$$\Omega(\theta) = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4) \in \Gamma_4 : |\xi_{12}| \geq \theta N_m, |\xi_{14}| \geq \theta N_m\}.$$

The following algebraic identities are crucial:

$$\sum_{j=1}^4 \tau_j + (-1)^j \xi_j^2 = -2\xi_{12}\xi_{14} \tag{7.1}$$

$$|\xi_2^2 - \alpha \xi_{13}^2 + \xi_4^2| \sim |\xi_2|^2 \vee |\xi_4|^2. \tag{7.2}$$

We first estimate the non-resonance quantity

$$Q_1'' := \left| \int_T^{T+\delta} \Lambda_4 \left(\frac{m_4^2 - m_{13}^2}{\xi_2^2 - \alpha \xi_{13}^2 + \xi_4^2} \chi_{\Omega(\theta)}; u_j \right) dt \right| \tag{7.3}$$

Divide each u_j into dyadic pieces and set $N_j \sim |\xi_j|$. One note that we may assume that $N_m \gtrsim N$, otherwise the quantity inside the integral vanishes. We denote $w_j = P_{N_j} I u_j, j = 2, 4$ and $w_j = P_{N_j} I \overline{u}_j, j = 1, 3$. Denote $\lambda_j = \tau_j + (-1)^j \xi_j^2$, The worst case is $N_2 + N_4 \ll N_1 \sim N_3 \sim N_m$.
Under this situation, we first estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Gamma_4(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x)} \frac{(m_4^2 - m_{24}^2)\langle \xi_{12}\xi_{14} \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(N_2 \vee N_4)^2 m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4 \theta N_m} \prod_{j=1}^4 |\widetilde{w_j}(\tau, \xi_j)| \\
\lesssim &\int_{\Gamma_4(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x)} \frac{|m_4^2 - m_{24}^2| \max_{1 \leq j \leq 4} \langle \lambda_j \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(N_2 \vee N_4)^2 m_2 m_4 \theta N_m^{1-2\rho} N^{2\rho}} \prod_{j=1}^4 |\widetilde{w_j}(\tau, \xi_j)| \\
\lesssim &\sum_{j=1}^4 \int_{\Gamma_4(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x)} \frac{|m_4^2 - m_{24}^2| |\mathcal{F}_{t,x} \langle D_t + (-1)^j D_x^2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} w_j(\tau_j, \xi_j)|}{(N_2 \vee N_4)^{2(1-\rho)} \theta N_m^{1-2\rho} N^{4\rho}} \prod_{k \neq j}^4 |\widetilde{w_k}(\tau, \xi_k)| \\
:= &\sum_{j=1}^4 A_k,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

where in the last inequality, we have used the increasing property of $m(\xi)\langle \xi \rangle^\rho$ and the fact that $N_2 \vee N_4 \gtrsim N$(otherwise $m_4 = m_{24} = 1$).

To estimate the first term (similar to the third term) , we set

$$\Phi_1 = \mathcal{F}_{t,x}^{-1} |\langle \tau + \xi_1^2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{w_1}|,$$

$$\varphi_j = \mathcal{F}_{t,x}^{-1}|\langle\xi\rangle^{-\frac{1}{4}}\widetilde{w_j}| \text{ for } j=2,4 \text{ and } \varphi_3 = \mathcal{F}_{t,x}^{-1}|\langle\xi\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\widetilde{w_3}|.$$

Undoing the Plancherel, we estimate the first term by(combine Holder, local-smoothing and maximal estimate)

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &\sim \frac{N^{2\rho}}{(N_2\vee N_4)^{2(1-\rho)}\theta N_m^{1-2\rho}N^{4\rho}}\cdot\frac{N_2^{1/4}N_4^{1/4}}{N_3^{1/2}}\iint \Phi_1\varphi_2\varphi_3\varphi_4 dtdx\\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\theta N_m^{\frac{3}{2}-2\rho}N^{\frac{3}{2}}}\iint \Phi_1\varphi_2\varphi_3\varphi_4 dtdx\\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\theta N_m^{\frac{3}{2}-2\rho}N^{\frac{3}{2}}}\|\Phi_1\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\|(\varphi_2\varphi_4)\varphi_3\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\theta N_m^{\frac{3}{2}-2\rho}N^{\frac{3}{2}}}\|\Phi_1\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\|\varphi_2\|_{L^4_xL^\infty_t}\|\varphi_4\|_{L^4_xL^\infty_t}\|\varphi_3\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t}\\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\theta N_m^{\frac{3}{2}-2\rho}N^{\frac{3}{2}}}\|\Phi_1\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\|\varphi_2\|_{X^{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2}+}}\|\varphi_4\|_{X^{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2}+}}\|\langle D_x\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_3\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}\\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\theta N_m^{\frac{3}{2}-2\rho}N^{\frac{3}{2}}}\prod_{j=1}^4\|w_j\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}
\end{aligned}\tag{7.5}$$

For the second term(the fourth term is similar), we denote $\Phi_2 = \mathcal{F}_{t,x}^{-1}|\langle\tau-\xi_2^2\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\widetilde{w_2}|$, $\varphi_j = \mathcal{F}_{t,x}^{-1}|\langle\xi\rangle^{-\frac{1}{4}}\widetilde{w_j}|$ for $j=1,4$ and $\varphi_3 = \mathcal{F}_{t,x}^{-1}|\langle\xi\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\widetilde{w_3}|$ and estimate the second term by

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= \frac{1}{(N_2\vee N_4)^{2(1-\rho)}\theta N_m^{1-2\rho}N^{2\rho}}\cdot\frac{N_1^{1/4}N_4^{1/4}}{N_3^{1/2}}\iint \varphi_1\Phi_2\varphi_3\varphi_4 dtdx\\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\theta N_m^{\frac{5}{4}-2\rho}N^{\frac{7}{4}}}\|\Phi_2\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\|\varphi_1\|_{L^4_xL^\infty_t}\|\varphi_4\|_{L^4_xL^\infty_t}\|\varphi_3\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t}\\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\theta N_m^{\frac{5}{4}-2\rho}N^{\frac{7}{4}}}\|\Phi_2\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\prod_{j=1}^4\|w_j\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{7.6}$$

Sum over dyadic numbers $N_m \gtrsim N$, if $\rho<\frac{5}{8}$, then the Littlewood-Paley summation converge, and we finally obtained that

$$Q_1'' \lesssim \frac{1}{\theta N^{(3-2\rho)-}}\prod_{j=1}^4\|Iu_j\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

Now we proceed to estimate the contribution of resonance part:

$$Q_2'' := \left|\int_T^{T+\delta}\Lambda_4\left(\frac{m_4^2-m_{13}^2}{\xi_2^2-\alpha\xi_{13}^2+\xi_4^2}\chi_{\Omega(\theta)^c};u\right)dt\right|.$$

For the resonance part, we perform here a different argument, which only involves the Strichartz-estimate and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality .

In the constraint set Γ_4, we write $N_m\geq N_{sec}\geq N_{thi}\geq N_{min}$ to stand for the four frequencies. One note that $N_m\sim N_{sec}$. We split the integral into Littlewood-Paley pieces $|\xi_j|\sim N_j$. We again use the notation $w_j = P_{N_j}Iu_j, j=2,4$ and $Iw_j = P_{N_j}I\overline{u}_j, j=1,3$ and $\lambda_j=\tau_j+(-1)^j\xi_j^2$.

case 1:$|\xi_{12}|<\theta N_m, |\xi_{14}|<\theta N_m$:

In view of $\theta \ll 1$, if $|\xi_1| \leq 10\theta N_m \ll N_m$, then $|\xi_2| + |\xi_4| \ll N_m$, therefore the only possibility is $|\xi_3| \sim N_m$, and this contradicts the fact that $N_m \sim N_{sec}$. Hence we must have $|\xi_1| \gtrsim N_m$, and $|\xi_2| \sim |\xi_4| \sim N_m$. Moreover, ξ_2 and ξ_4 must have the same sign, and this implies(thanks to the radial symmetry and non-increasing property of the function m) $m_{13} = m_{24} \leq m_4$. From this fact, we have the pointwise bound

$$\left| \frac{m_4^2 - m_{13}^2}{\xi_2^2 - \alpha\xi_{13}^2 + \xi_4^2} \right| \lesssim \frac{\langle \xi_{12}\xi_{14} \rangle^{1/2}}{N_m^{2(1-\rho)} N^{2\rho}} \prod_{j=1}^{4} m(\xi_j).$$

After localizing in time, we can also taking time Fourier transform to transfer the desired estimate to

$$\int_{\Gamma_4(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x);\text{case 1}} \frac{\langle \xi_{12}\xi_{14} \rangle^{1/2}}{N_m^{2(1-\rho)} N^{2\rho}} \prod_{j=1}^{4} |\widetilde{Iw_j}(\tau_j, \xi_j)| \tag{7.7}$$

Exploit the algebraic identity: on $\Gamma_4(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{4} (\tau_j + (-1)^j \xi_j^2) = 2\xi_{12}\xi_{14},$$

Undoing the Plancherel we have (we may assume that $|\tau_1 - \xi_1^2|$ be the largest one from the four)

$$\begin{aligned} (7.7) &\lesssim \frac{1}{N_m^{2(1-\rho)} N^{2\rho}} \|Iu_1\|_{X^{0;\frac{1}{2}}} \prod_{j=2}^{3} \|Iu_j\|_{L_{t,x}^6} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{N_m^{2(1-\rho)} N^{2\rho}} \prod_{j=1}^{4} \|Iu_j\|_{X^{0;\frac{1}{2}+}}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

where for the first inequality, we have applied Holder and the second, we have applied the Strichartz estimate:

$$\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^6} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

case 2:$|\xi_{12}| < \theta N_m, |\xi_{14}| \geq \theta N_m$(the roles 2 and 4 are symmetric):
In this case, we must have

$$N_2 \vee N_4 \sim N_m,$$

and we need to estimate

$$\left| \int_T^{T+\delta} dt \int_{\Gamma_4;\text{case 2}} \frac{|m_4^2 - m_{24}^2|}{(N_2 \vee N_4)^2 m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4} \prod_{j=1}^{4} \widehat{Iw_j}(t, \xi_j) \right|. \tag{7.9}$$

If $N_2 \ll N_4$, from mean value formula, we have easily (take $N_4 \gg N_2$ as an example)

$$|m_4^2 - m_{24}^2| \sim m_4 \frac{N_2 N^\rho}{N_4^{\rho+1}},$$

and if $N_4 \ll N_2$,

$$|m_4^2 - m_{24}^2| \sim m_2^2 \sim \frac{N^{2\rho}}{N_m^{2\rho}}.$$

In both the two cases we have

$$\frac{|m_4^2-m_{24}^2|}{(N_2\vee N_4)^2m_1m_2m_3m_4}\lesssim\frac{\langle\xi_{12}\xi_{14}\rangle^{1/2}}{N_m^{2(1-\rho)}N^{2\rho}}.$$

and the rest argument is the same as in case 1. Moreover, this argument yields the same bound in this situation.

Now we assume that $N_2\sim N_4\sim N_m$.

If $\xi_2\xi_4\geq 0$, we have $m_{24}\leq m_4$, and this implies $m_4^2-m_{24}^2\sim m_2m_4\sim m_2^2$, and we obtain the same bound as above.

The worst case takes place when $\xi_2\xi_4<0$. In this case $|m_4^2-m_{24}^2|\sim m_{24}^2$ and which can be fairly close to 1, thus no contribution of decay. We need, on the other hand, exploit the smallest of the measure of some part of the resonance set.

case 2.1:$|\xi_{12}|\leq\frac{\theta}{N_m}$ In this case, $N_1\sim N_2\sim N_3\sim N_4\sim N_m$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_T^{T+\delta}dt\int_{\Gamma_4;\text{case 2.1}}\frac{|m_4^2-m_{24}^2|}{(N_2\vee N_4)^2m_1m_2m_3m_4}\prod_{j=1}^4|\widehat{Iw_j}(t,\xi_j)|\\
\lesssim&\frac{1}{N_m^{2-4\rho}N^{4\rho}}\int_T^{T+\delta}dt\int_{\Gamma_4;\text{case 2.1}}\prod_{j=1}^4|\widehat{Iw_j}(t,\xi_j)|\\
\lesssim&\int_T^{T+\delta}\int_{|\xi_{12}|<\theta N_m^{-1}}\frac{|\widehat{Iw_1}(t,\xi_1)||\widehat{Iw_3}(t,\xi_3)||\widehat{Iw_2}(t,\xi_{12}-\xi_1)||\widehat{Iw_4}(t,-\xi_{12}-\xi_3)|}{N_m^{2-4\rho}N^{4\rho}}dtd\xi_1d\xi_{12}d\xi_3\\
\lesssim&\int_T^{T+\delta}\int_{|\xi_{12}|<\theta N_m^{-1}}\frac{\|\widehat{Iw_1}(t,\xi_1)\widehat{Iw_3}(t,\xi_3)\|_{L^2_{\xi_1,\xi_3}}\|\widehat{Iw_2}(t,\xi_{12}-\xi_1)\widehat{Iw_4}(t,-\xi_{12}-\xi_3)\|_{L^2_{\xi_1,\xi_3}}}{N_m^{2-4\rho}N^{4\rho}}dtd\xi_{12}\\
\lesssim&\frac{\theta}{N_m^{3-4\rho}N^{4\rho}}\int_T^{T+\delta}\prod_{j=1}^4\|Iu_j\|_{L^2_x}dt\\
\lesssim&\frac{\theta}{N_m^{3-4\rho}N^{4\rho}}\prod_{j=1}^4\|Iu_j\|_{X^{0,\frac12+}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{7.10}$$

case 2.2:$|\xi_{12}|\geq\theta$:

In this situation, we have $|\xi_{12}\xi_{14}|^{\frac12}\gtrsim\theta N_m^{\frac12}$, thus

$$\frac{|m_4^2-m_{24}^2|}{(N_2\vee N_4)^2m_1m_2m_3m_4}\lesssim\frac{\langle\xi_{12}\xi_{14}\rangle^{1/2}}{\theta N_m^{\frac52-4\rho}N^{4\rho}}$$

and the same argument as in case 1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_T^{T+\delta}dt\int_{\Gamma_4;\text{case 2.2}}\frac{|m_4^2-m_{24}^2|}{(N_2\vee N_4)^2m_1m_2m_3m_4}\prod_{j=1}^4\widehat{Iw_j}(t,\xi_j)\\
\lesssim&\frac{1}{\theta N_m^{\frac52-4\rho}N^{4\rho}}\prod_{j=1}^4\|Iu_j\|_{X^{0,\frac12+}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{7.11}$$

case 2.3:$\frac{\theta}{N_m}<|\xi_{12}|<\theta$.

We write $N_m\sim 2^{k_0}$, and $|\xi_{12}|\sim 2^{k_0-k}\theta$. For technical reason, we choose $\eta(t)^4$ be a localize in time function (localize the time in the interval $[T,T+\delta]$). Thus,

module a small error term, we mainly concern about the estimate of the following expression:

$$\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{2k_0}\left|\int dt\int_{\Gamma_4,|\xi_{12}|\sim\theta 2^{k_0-k}}\prod_{j=1}^{4}\eta(t)^4\widehat{Iw_j}(t,\xi_j)\frac{\langle\xi_{12}\xi_{14}\rangle^{\frac12}}{\langle\theta^2 2^{2k_0-k}\rangle^{\frac12}}\right|. \tag{7.12}$$

By setting $\widetilde{\varphi_j}=|\mathcal{F}_t(\eta\widehat{Iw_j})|$ and using Plancherel in time, we write each term to be summed as

$$\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{2k_0}a_k:=\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{2k_0}\int_{\Gamma_4(\mathbb{R}_t\times\mathbb{R}),|\xi_{12}|\sim\theta 2^{k_0-k}}\prod_{j=1}^{4}\widetilde{\varphi_j}(\tau_j,\xi_j)\frac{\langle\xi_{12}\xi_{14}\rangle^{\frac12}}{\langle\theta^2 2^{2k_0-k}\rangle^{\frac12}}. \tag{7.13}$$

To fix the idea, we may assume that $|\xi_{12}\xi_{14}|\lesssim|\tau_1-\xi_1^2|$ (other cases are similar) and we set $\widetilde{\psi_1}=\langle\tau_1-\xi_1^2\rangle^{\frac12}\widetilde{\varphi_1}$. Undoing Plancherel in time, we bound

$$\begin{aligned}
a_k=&\int dt\int_{|\xi_{12}|\sim\theta 2^{k_0-k}}\frac{\widehat{\psi_1}(t,\xi_1)\widehat{\varphi_2}(t,\xi_{12}-\xi_1)\widehat{\varphi_3}(t,\xi_3)\widehat{\varphi_4}(t,-\xi_{12}-\xi_3)}{\langle\theta^2 2^{2k_0-k}\rangle^{\frac12}}d\xi_1 d\xi_{12}d\xi_3\\
\lesssim&\int dt\int_{|\xi_{12}|\sim\theta 2^{k_0-k}}\frac{\|\widehat{\psi_1}(t,\xi_1)\widehat{\varphi_3}(t,\xi_3)\|_{L^2_{\xi_1,\xi_3}}\|\widehat{\varphi_2}(t,\xi_{12}-\xi_1)\widehat{\varphi_4}(t,-\xi_{12}-\xi_3)\|_{L^2_{\xi_1,\xi_3}}}{\langle\theta^2 2^{2k_0-k}\rangle^{\frac12}}d\xi_{12}\\
\lesssim&\frac{\theta 2^{k_0-k}}{\langle\theta^2 2^{2k_0-k}\rangle^{\frac12}}\int\|\psi_1(t,.)\|_{L^2_x}\prod_{j=2}^{4}\|\varphi_j(t,.)\|_{L^2_x}dt\\
\lesssim&\frac{\theta 2^{k_0-k}}{\langle\theta^2 2^{2k_0-k}\rangle^{\frac12}}\|\psi_1\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\|\varphi_2\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\|\varphi_3\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\|\varphi_4\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\\
\lesssim&\frac{\theta 2^{k_0-k}}{\langle\theta^2 2^{2k_0-k}\rangle^{\frac12}}\prod_{j=1}^{4}\|Iu_j\|_{X^{0,\frac12+}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.14}$$

Actually, the implicit constant in the last inequality depends on $\eta(t)$ and hence depends on δ.

Sum over k from k_0+1 to $2k_0$, we obtained that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_T^{T+\delta}dt\int_{\Gamma_4;\text{case 2.3}}\frac{|m_4^2-m_{24}^2|}{(N_2\vee N_4)^2 m_1m_2m_3m_4}\prod_{j=1}^{4}\widehat{Iv_j}(t,\xi_j)\\
\lesssim&\frac{1}{\theta N_m^{2-4\rho}N^{4\rho}}\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{2k_0}a_k\\
\lesssim&\frac{1}{\theta N_m^{\frac52-4\rho}N^{4\rho}}\prod_{j=1}^{4}\|Iu_j\|_{X^{0,\frac12+}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

Finally, sum over dyadic numbers $N_m\gtrsim N$, we have finished the proof of Lemma7.1. ∎

8. Estimates related to R_4'

Recall that

$$R_4' = \Im\Lambda_4\left(\frac{m_1^2+m_{23}^2-2m_4^2}{\xi_1^2-\alpha\xi_4^2+\xi_{23}^2}; u, \overline{u}, v, \overline{v}\right).$$

We calculate the resonance function

$$\begin{aligned} h_4 &= -(\tau_1-\xi_1^2+\tau_2+\xi_2^2+\tau_3-\alpha\xi_3^2+\tau_4+\alpha\xi_4^2) \\ &= -\xi_{12}(2\alpha\xi_{23}+(1-\alpha)\xi_{2-1}), \end{aligned} \tag{8.1}$$

and we call $g = g(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3) = 2\alpha\xi_{23}+(1-\alpha)\xi_{2-1}$. Under the constraint of Γ_4, we can further write

$$\begin{aligned} g =& -2\alpha\xi_{14}-(1-\alpha)\xi_1-(1-\alpha)\xi_{34} \\ =& -2\alpha\xi_4-(1-\alpha)\xi_{34}-(1+\alpha)\xi_1 \\ =& -(1+\alpha)\xi_1-2\alpha\xi_4-(1-\alpha)\xi_{34}. \end{aligned}$$

To deal with the non-resonance part, we proceed exactly in the same way as we have done for the non-resonance part of R_4'', if one change the resonance function to $h_4 = -\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2-\alpha\xi_3^2+\alpha\xi_4^2$ everywhere and the change the norms appeared for $v, \overline{v}$ to $X_\alpha^{s,b}$. Therefore, in the following, we only need to give an upper bound on

$$\left|\int_T^{T+\delta}\Lambda_4\left(\frac{m_1^2+m_{23}^2-2m_4^2}{(\xi_1^2-\alpha\xi_4^2+\xi_{23}^2)m_1m_2m_3m_4}\chi_{|\xi_{12}|<\theta N_m \text{ or } |g|<\theta N_m}; Iu, I\overline{u}, Iv, I\overline{v}\right)dt\right|.$$

Since the $X^{s,b}$ (as well as $X_\alpha^{s,b}$) norms only depend on the magnitude of space-time Fourier transform, we always assume the Fourier transforms involved in the sequel are real and nonnegative(one justify this easily by consulting what we have done for R_4'' where we do not make any assumption on the positiveness of Fourier transforms).

case(a):$|\xi_{12}|<\theta N_m, |2\alpha\xi_{23}+(1-\alpha)\xi_{2-1}|<\theta N_m$.

In this case, the situation $N_1+N_4 \ll N_2\sim N_3\sim N_m$ is forbidden. We claim that in fact, $N_1\sim N_4\sim N_m$, and $N_{14}\sim N_m$:

Indeed, if $N_1\sim N_m$, and $N_2\sim N_1, \xi_2\xi_1<0$. Now let us look at the second constraint:$|g|<\theta N_m$, which gives

$$|-2\alpha\xi_4+(1-\alpha)\xi_{2-1}-2\alpha\xi_1| \ll N_m,$$

and this implies that $N_4\sim N_1$ and $N_{14}\sim N_m$.

On the other hand, if $N_4\sim N_m$, then $N_3\sim N_4, \xi_3\xi_4<0$, the second

$$|g| = |-(1+\alpha)\xi_1-2\alpha\xi_4-(1-\alpha)\xi_{34}| \ll N_m$$

implies that $N_4\sim N_1\sim N_{14}\sim N_m$. Thus we can estimate

$$\left|\frac{m_1^2+m_{23}^2-2m_4^2}{(\xi_1^2-\alpha\xi_4^2+\xi_{23}^2)m_1m_2m_3m_4}\chi_{|\xi_{12}|<\theta N_m \text{ or } |g|<\theta N_m}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{N_m^{2(1-\rho)}N^{2\rho}}.$$

Now the same argument as in the proof of case (1) of the Lemma4.2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\int_T^{T+\delta}\Lambda_4\left(\frac{m_1^2+m_{23}^2-2m_4^2}{(\xi_1^2-\alpha\xi_4^2+\xi_{23}^2)m_1m_2m_3m_4}\chi_{|\xi_{12}|<\theta N_m}\chi_{|g|<\theta N_m}; Iu, I\overline{u}, Iv, I\overline{v}\right)dt\right| \\ \lesssim& \frac{1}{N^2}\|Iu\|_{X^{0,\frac12+}}^2\|Iv\|_{X_\alpha^{0,\frac12+}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

case(b):$|\xi_{12}| < \theta N_m, |2\alpha\xi_{23} + (1-\alpha)\xi_{2-1}| \geq \theta N_m$.

In this case, the argument are exactly the same as in the proof of case (2) of the Lemma4.2, and we omit the detail.

case(c):$|\xi_{12}| \geq \theta N_m, |2\alpha\xi_{23} + (1-\alpha)\xi_{2-1}| < \theta N_m$.

We again proceed the similar argument as in the proof of case (2) of the Lemma4.2.

The main difference in the present situation appears in the subcase

$$|2\alpha\xi_{23} + (1-\alpha)\xi_{2-1}| = |-(1-\alpha)\xi_1 + (1+\alpha)\xi_2 + 2\alpha\xi_3| < \frac{\theta}{N_m}.$$

In order to mimic the previous Cauchy-Schwartz argument, we perform a changing of variable

$$\begin{cases} \xi_1 = \eta_1, \\ \xi_2 = \dfrac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}((1-\alpha)\eta_1 + \eta_2), \\ \xi_3 = \eta_3 \end{cases} \tag{8.2}$$

To estimate

$$\frac{1}{N_m^{2-4\rho}N^{4\rho}} \int dt \int_{\Gamma_4, |g| < \frac{\theta}{N_m}} |\widehat{Iu}(t,\xi_1)||\widehat{I\overline{u}}(t,\xi_2)||\widehat{Iv}(t,\xi_3)||\widehat{I\overline{v}}(t,\xi_4)|,$$

We need to estimate (under the new coordinate system)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N_m^{2-4\rho}N^{4\rho}} \int dt \times \int_{|\eta_{23}| < \frac{\theta}{2\alpha N_m}} d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_{23} \left|\widehat{Iu}(t,\eta_1)\right| \left|\widehat{I\overline{u}}\left(t, \frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}((1-\alpha)\eta_1 + \eta_2)\right)\right| \times \\ \left|\widehat{Iv}(t, \eta_{23} - \eta_2)\right| \left|\widehat{I\overline{v}}\left(t, -\left(1 + \frac{2\alpha(1-\alpha)}{1+\alpha}\right)\eta_1 + \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\eta_2 - \eta_{23}\right)\right|. \end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

We bound the term $\int d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_{23}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{|\eta_{23}| < \frac{\theta}{N_m}} d\eta_{23} \left\| \widehat{Iu}(t,\eta_1)\widehat{I\overline{u}}\left(t, \frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}((1-\alpha)\eta_1 + \eta_2)\right)\right\|_{L^2_{\eta_1,\eta_2}} \times \\ &\left\| \widehat{Iv}(t, \eta_{23} - \eta_2)\widehat{I\overline{v}}\left(t, -\left(1 + \frac{2\alpha(1-\alpha)}{1+\alpha}\right)\eta_1 + \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\eta_2 - \eta_{23}\right)\right\|_{L^2_{\eta_1,\eta_2}} \\ \lesssim &\frac{\theta}{N_m}\|Iu\|^2_{L^2_x}\|Iv\|^2_{L^2_x}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

Now the remaining arguments are exactly the same as before, and we omit the details.

Remark 8.1. *One might doubt that if the same trick work in the case $\frac{\theta}{N_m} < |g| < \theta$. Indeed, if we split the magnitude of $|g|$ into dyadic sum as usual, the scheme is as follows: first write everything into space-time Fourier transforms (choose a localization in time function η), distributing $\langle \tau_j \pm \xi_j^2 \rangle$ or $\langle \tau_j \pm \alpha\xi_j^2 \rangle$ to one function and undo the time-Plancherel. Then make changing of variable and argue as above in order to exploit the smallness of measure of some part of resonance set. At the end of the day, we gain $\frac{1}{\langle \theta^2 2^{2N-k} \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ from the first step and $\theta 2^{N-k}$ in the second step.*

Therefore, we have proved the following:

Lemma 8.2. *Suppose $\rho < \frac{5}{8}$, then we have*

$$\left|\int_T^{T+\delta} \Lambda_4\left(\frac{m_1^2+m_{23}^2-2m_4^2}{(\xi_1^2-\alpha\xi_4^2+\xi_{23}^2)m_1m_2m_3m_4}\chi_{|\xi_{12}|<\theta N_m \text{ or } |g|<\theta N_m}; Iu, I\overline{u}, Iv, I\overline{v}\right)dt\right|$$
$$\leq C\max\left\{\frac{1}{N^{2-}}, \frac{1}{N^{(3-2\rho)-}}\right\}\|Iu\|^2_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}\|Iv\|^2_{X_\alpha^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}. \tag{8.5}$$

This complete the proof of Lemma4.3.

9. Case of $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ and the proof of Lemma 5.1

This section is devoted to the proof of Lemma 5.1. The main difficulty is that we may have resonance of $\xi_1^2-\alpha\xi_2^2+\xi_3^2$ for the 3-multiplier. Thus the second generation of modified energy behaves extremely awful. To overcome this difficulty, we will make use the linear-nonlinear decomposition, in company with small-measure based argument as before.

Firstly let us recall what we want to prove below, which is a long-time modified conservation law:

Proposition 9.1. *For any $T > 0, \mu > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{t\in[T,T+\mu]}(\|Iu(t)\|_{L^2_x} + \|Iv(t)\|_{L^2_x}) \lesssim 1,$$

we have

$$|E_2(t_2) - E_2(t_1)| \lesssim \frac{(1+|t_2-t_1|^{1/2})}{N^{1/3-}} + \frac{(1+|t_2-t_1|)^3}{N^{1-}} + \sum_{k=1}^{3}\left(\frac{(1+|t_2-t_1|)^{k-1/2}}{N^{\frac{k}{2}-}} + \frac{(1+|t_2-t_1|)^{k+1/2}}{N^{\frac{k+1}{2}-}}\right)$$

for any $t_1, t_2 \in [T, T+\mu]$, provided that $\rho = -s < \frac{1}{4}$.

Recall that

$$\partial_t E_2(t) = \Im\Lambda_3(m_2^2 - m_1^2; u, \overline{v}, u),$$

we will estimate the integral

$$\left|\int_T^{T+\delta} dt \int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{m_1^2-m_2^2}{m_1m_2m_3}\widehat{Iu}(t,\xi_1)\widehat{I\overline{v}}(t,\xi_2)\widehat{Iu}(t,\xi_3)\right| \tag{9.1}$$

Note that the resonance identity:

$$\xi_1^2-\alpha\xi_2^2+\xi_3^2 = \left(\xi_1 - \frac{\alpha+\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha}\xi_3\right)\left(\xi_1 - \frac{\alpha-\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha}\xi_3\right).$$

The worst case will be the resonance:

$$\left|\xi_1 - \frac{\alpha+\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha}\xi_3\right| \ll 1 \text{or } \left|\xi_1 - \frac{\alpha-\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha}\xi_3\right| \ll 1.$$

We take $\left|\xi_1 - \frac{\alpha+\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha}\xi_3\right| \ll 1$ for an example (the other is similar). Thanks to $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$, we have $N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N_3 \sim N_m \gtrsim N$.

Pick a small parameter $a \ll 1$ to be chosen later, we consider separately the two situations:

(1)$\left|\xi_1 - \frac{\alpha+\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha}\xi_3\right| \le a$:

The following variant of Young's inequality will be used:

Lemma 9.2. *Suppose f, g, h are $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ functions, $c > 0$ be a fixed constants. Then there exists $K = K(d) > 0$, independent of f, g, h and c such that*

$$\left|\int_{|x-cy|\le\theta} f(x)g(y-x)h(y)dxdy\right| \le K\theta^{d/2}\|f\|_{L^2}\|g\|_{L^2}\|h\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. We may assume that $f, g, h \ge 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy \int_{|x-cy|\le\theta} f(x)g(y-x)h(y)dx \\
&\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy \left(\int_{|x-cy|\le\theta} f(x)^2 g(y-x)^2 dx\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{|x-cy|\le\theta} dx\right)^{1/2} h(y)dy \\
&\le K\theta^{d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(y) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)^2 g(y-x)^2 dx\right)^{1/2} dy \\
&\le K\theta^{d/2}\|h\|_{L^2}\|f\|_{L^2}\|g\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

■

We write $u_j = P_{N_j}u, j = 1, 3$ and $u_2 = P_{N_2}\overline{v}$, and denote

$$\Gamma_{3,1}(\mathbb{R}) := \left\{(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \left|\xi_1 - \frac{\alpha+\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha}\xi_3\right| \le a\right\}$$

We now perform a linear-nonlinear decomposition to write the solution on $[T, T+\delta)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
&u(t) = u^l(t) + u^{nl}(t), \\
&u^l(t) := e^{i(t-T)\partial_x^2}u(T), \\
&u^{nl}(t) := -i\int_T^t e^{i(t-t')\partial_x^2}(v\overline{u})(t')dt', \\
&v(t) = v^l(t) + v^{nl}(t), \\
&v^l(t) := e^{i\alpha(t-T)\partial_x^2}v(T), \\
&v^{nl}(t) := -i\int_T^t e^{i\alpha(t-t')\partial_x^2}(u^2)(t')dt'.
\end{aligned}$$

We introduce the following notation: for $j = 1, 2, 3$, $\sigma_j \in \{l, nl\}$, and

$$M = \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{m_1 m_2 m_3},$$

and then we write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_T^{T+\mu} dt \int_{\Gamma_{3,1}} \frac{m_1^2-m_2^2}{m_1m_2m_3} \prod_{j=1}^3 \widehat{Iu_j}(t,\xi_j) = \int_T^{T+\mu} dt \int_{\Gamma_{3,1}} M \prod_{j=1}^3 \widehat{Iu_j^l}(t,\xi_j) \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{\sigma_j, \#\{j:\sigma_j=nl\}=k} \int_T^{T+\mu} dt \int_{\Gamma_{3,1}} M \prod_{j=1}^3 \widehat{Iu_j^{\sigma_j}}(t,\xi_j)
\end{aligned}$$

If three solutions involved are linear part, namely for the first term on the right hand side, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_T^{T+\mu} dt \int_{\Gamma_{3,1}} \frac{m_1^2-m_2^2}{m_1m_2m_3} \prod_{j=1}^3 \widehat{Iu_j^l}(t,\xi_j)\right| \\
&= \left|\int_0^{\mu} dt \int_{\Gamma_{3,1}} \frac{m_1^2-m_2^2}{m_1m_2m_3} e^{-it(\xi_1^2-\alpha\xi_2^2+\xi_3^2)} \prod_{j=1}^3 Iu_j(T,\xi_j)\right| \\
&= \left|\int_{\Gamma_{3,1}} \frac{(m_1^2-m_2^2)(e^{-i\mu(\xi_1^2-\alpha\xi_2^2+\xi_3^2)}-1)}{m_1m_2m_3(\xi_1^2-\alpha\xi_2^2+\xi_3^2)} \prod_{j=1}^3 \widehat{Iu_j}(T,\xi_j)\right| \\
&\left|\int_{|\xi_1-\frac{\alpha+\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha}\xi_3|\le\lambda a} \frac{(m_1^2-m_2^2)(e^{-i\mu(\xi_1^2-\alpha\xi_2^2+\xi_3^2)}-1)}{m_1m_2m_3(\xi_1^2-\alpha\xi_2^2+\xi_3^2)} \prod_{j=1}^3 \widehat{Iu_j}(T,\xi_j)\right| \\
&+\left|\int_{\lambda a<|\xi_1-\frac{\alpha+\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha}\xi_3|\le a} \frac{(m_1^2-m_2^2)(e^{-i\mu(\xi_1^2-\alpha\xi_2^2+\xi_3^2)}-1)}{m_1m_2m_3(\xi_1^2-\alpha\xi_2^2+\xi_3^2)} \prod_{j=1}^3 \widehat{Iu_j}(T,\xi_j)\right| \\
&\lesssim \frac{N_m^\rho}{N^\rho} \prod_{j=1}^3 \|Iu_j(T)\|_{L_x^2} \left((\lambda a)^{1/2}\mu + \frac{a^{1/2}}{\lambda a N_m}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{9.2}$$

where in the last step we have used Lemma 9.2 and $\lambda \in (0,1)$ is a parameter chosen as

$$\lambda = (N_m a\mu)^{-2/3},$$

and we obtain

$$\left|\int_T^{T+\mu} dt \int_{\Gamma_{3,1}} \frac{m_1^2-m_2^2}{m_1m_2m_3} \prod_{j=1}^3 \widehat{Iu_j^l}(t,\xi_j)\right| \lesssim \frac{N_m^\rho}{N^\rho} \frac{a^{1/6}\mu^{2/3}}{N_m^{1/3}} \prod_{j=1}^3 \|Iu_j(T)\|_{L_x^2}. \tag{9.3}$$

If there is some nonlinear solution involved, we estimate by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_T^{T+\mu} dt \int_{\Gamma_{3,1}} \frac{m_1^2-m_2^2}{m_1m_2m_3} \prod_{j=1}^3 \widehat{Iu_j^{\sigma_j}}(t,\xi_j)\right| \\
&\lesssim \frac{N_m^\rho}{N^\rho} \int_T^{T+\mu} dt \int_{\xi_3} d\xi_3 \int_{|\xi_1-\frac{\alpha+\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha}\xi_3|\le a} |\widehat{Iu_1^{\sigma_1}}(t,\xi_1)\widehat{Iu_2^{\sigma_2}}(t,-\xi_1-\xi_3)\widehat{Iu_3^{\sigma_3}}(t,\xi_3)|d\xi_1 \\
&\lesssim \frac{N_m^\rho}{N^\rho} a^{1/2} \int_T^{T+\mu} \prod_{j=1}^3 \|Iu_j(t)\|_{L_x^2} dt \\
&\lesssim \frac{N_m^\rho}{N^\rho} a^{1/2} \|P_{N_1} Iu^{\sigma_1}\|_{X^{0,\frac12+}([T,T+\mu])} \|P_{N_2} I\overline{v}^{\sigma_2}\|_{X_\alpha^{0,\frac12+}([T,T+\mu])} \|P_{N_3} Iu^{\sigma_3}\|_{X^{0,\frac12+}([T,T+\mu])}
\end{aligned} \tag{9.4}$$

where we have used Lemma 9.2 in the third line and Cauchy-Schwartz in time for the last step.

We will make use of the following refinement of local estimate, which is the smoothing effect on the nonlinear part.

Proposition 9.3. *Suppose $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$. Assume that*

$$\sup_{t\in[T,T+\mu]} \left(\|Iu\|_{L^2_x} + \|Iv\|_{L^2_x}\right) \lesssim 1.$$

Then for $N_m \geq N$, we have

$$\|\psi(\mu^{-1}(t-T))P_{N_m} Iu^{nl}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}+}(1+\mu),$$

$$\|\psi(\mu^{-1}(t-T))P_{N_m} Iv^{nl}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}_\alpha} \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}+}(1+\mu).$$

Proof. We only need consider the case that $\mu > 1$. We take $\delta < \mu$ as in Lemma 4.4, and divide the interval $[T, T+\mu]$ into subintervals $J_k = [T_k, T_{k+1}]$ of length δ. The number of partition is $\sim \mu$. Now from Proposition 3.14 and Lemma 4.4, we have for each J_k,

$$\|Iu\|^2_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(J_k)} + \|Iv\|^2_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(J_k)} \lesssim N^{-1^+}.$$

Sum over all J_k yields

$$\|Iu\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}([T,T+\mu])} + \|Iv\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}([T,T+\mu])} \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}^+}.$$

From Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\|P_{N_m} Iu^{nl}\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(J_1\times\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|P_{N_m} Iu^{nl}\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(J_1)} \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}^+},$$

Next, for $t \in J_k$, we write

$$u^{nl}(t) = e^{i(t-T_k)\partial_x^2} u^{nl}(T_k) + \int_{T_k}^t e^{i(t-t')\partial_x^2}(v\overline{u})(t')dt',$$

and from induction, we have

$$\|P_{N_m} Iu^{nl}(T_k)\|^2_{L^2_x} \lesssim N^{-1^+}k,$$

and thus

$$\|\psi(\mu^{-1}(t-T))P_{N_m} Iu^{nl}\|^2_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}(J_k)} \lesssim N^{-1^+}k.$$

The first inequality then follows easily by summing over k.
The second estimate follows in the same way and we omit the detail. ∎

Now suppose $\#\{j : \sigma_j = nl\} = k$, then we have

$$\left|\int_T^{T+\mu} dt \int_{\Gamma_{3,1}} \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{m_1 m_2 m_3} \prod_{j=1}^3 \widehat{Iu_j^{\sigma_j}}(t,\xi_j)\right| \lesssim \frac{N_m^\rho a^{1/2}(1+\mu)^k}{N^{(\rho+k/2)-}}.$$

Finally we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_T^{T+\mu} dt \int_{\Gamma_{3,1}} \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{m_1 m_2 m_3} \prod_{j=1}^3 \widehat{Iu_j}(t,\xi_j)\right| \\ \lesssim &\frac{N_m^\rho a^{1/6}(1+\mu)^{2/3}}{N^\rho N_m^{1/3}} + \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{N_m^\rho a^{1/2}(1+\mu)^k}{N^{(\rho+k/2)-}}.\end{aligned} \tag{9.5}$$

(2)$a < \left|\xi_1 - \frac{\alpha+\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha}\xi_3\right| \ll N_m$:
We denote $\Gamma_{3,2}(\mathbb{R})$ to stand for this situation.

After localizing in time, we can mainly concern about the estimate of

$$\left|\int_{\Gamma_{3,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \frac{m_1^2-m_2^2}{m_1m_2m_3}\prod_{j=1}^3 \widetilde{w_j}(\tau_j,\xi_j)\right|, \tag{9.6}$$

with $w_j(t,x)=\psi_{T,\mu}(t)P_{N_j}Iu_j$ with $\psi_{T,\mu}(t)=\psi(\mu^{-1}(t-T))$.

We adapt the linear-nonlinear decomposition as before, and it amounts to estimate

$$\left|\int_{\Gamma_{3,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \frac{m_1^2-m_2^2}{m_1m_2m_3}\prod_{j=1}^3 \widetilde{w_j^{\sigma_j}}(\tau_j,\xi_j)\right|,$$

where

$$w_j^{\sigma_j}=\psi_{T,\mu}(t)P_{N_j}Iu_j^{\sigma_j},\sigma_j\in\{l,nl\}.$$

Denote $\lambda_j=\tau_j-\xi_j^2, j=1,3$ and $\lambda_2=\tau_2+\alpha\xi_2^2$, use the algebraic identity:

$$\sum_{j=1}^3\lambda_j=-\left(\xi_1-\frac{\alpha+\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha}\xi_3\right)\left(\xi_1-\frac{\alpha-\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha}\xi_3\right),$$

we have that on $\Gamma_{3,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$\max_{1\le j\le 3}|\lambda_j|\gtrsim aN_m.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}(9.6)&\lesssim\sum_{j=1}^3\int_{\Gamma_{3,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)}\frac{N_m^\rho}{N^\rho}\frac{\langle\lambda_j\rangle^{\frac12+}}{a^{\frac12+}N_m^{\frac12+}}\prod_{j=1}^3|\widetilde{w_j^\sigma}|\\&\lesssim\frac{N_m^\rho}{N^\rho a^{1/2}N_m^{1/2}}\|P_{N_1}Iw_1^{\sigma_1}\|_{X^{0,\frac12+}}\|P_{N_2}Iw_2^{\sigma_2}\|_{X_\alpha^{0,\frac12+}}\|P_{N_3}Iw_3^{\sigma_3}\|_{X^{0,\frac12+}}.\end{aligned} \tag{9.7}$$

where to the last step, we have used the following arguments: Undoing Plancherel and then utilise local smoothing and maximal inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\mathcal{F}_{t,x}^{-1}|\langle\lambda_1\rangle^{\frac12+}\widetilde{w_1}|\mathcal{F}_{t,x}^{-1}|N_m^{\frac12}\widetilde{w_2}|\mathcal{F}_{t,x}^{-1}|N_m^{-(\frac12+)}\widetilde{w_3}|dtdx\\&\lesssim\|\mathcal{F}_{t,x}^{-1}|\langle\lambda_1\rangle^{\frac12+}\widetilde{w_1}|\|_{L^2_{t,x}}\|\mathcal{F}_{t,x}^{-1}|N_m^{1/2}\widetilde{w_2}|\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2}\|\mathcal{F}_{t,x}^{-1}|N_m^{-(\frac12+)}\widetilde{w_3}|\|_{L_x^2L_t^\infty}\\&\lesssim\|P_{N_1}Iw_1^{\sigma_1}\|_{X^{0,\frac12+}}\|P_{N_2}Iw_2^{\sigma_2}\|_{X_\alpha^{0,\frac12+}}\|P_{N_3}Iw_3^{\sigma_3}\|_{X^{0,\frac12+}}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\sigma_j=l$, we have

$$\|P_{N_j}Iw_j^l\|_{X^{0,\frac12+}}\lesssim 1,$$

since $\|Iu(T)\|_{L_x^2}+\|Iv(T)\|_{L_x^2}\lesssim 1$. Otherwise, we use Proposition 3.14 to estimate

$$\|P_{N_j}Iw_j^{nl}\|_{X^{0,\frac12+}}\lesssim N^{-\frac12+}(1+\mu).$$

Therefore,

$$(9.7)\lesssim\sum_{k=0}^3\frac{N_m^\rho}{N^{(\rho+k/2)^-}a^{1/2}N_m^{1/2}}(1+\mu)^k.$$

Finally, in the non-resonance cases, i.e.

$$\langle\xi_1^2-\alpha\xi_2^2+\xi_3^2\rangle\sim N_m,$$

we could easily obtain a better estimate by adapting the same procedure as above

$$\sum_{k=0}^{3} \frac{(1+\mu)^k}{N_m^{1-\rho} N^{\rho}}. \tag{9.8}$$

Combine all the estimates, we have obtained

$$(9.1) \lesssim \sum_{N_m \gtrsim N} (9.5) + (9.7) + (9.8). \tag{9.9}$$

Choose $a = N^{1/2} N_m^{-1/2} (1+\mu)^{-1}$, and the dyadic summation converges, provided that $\rho < \frac{1}{4}$. Finally, we get

$$(9.1) \lesssim \frac{(1+\mu)^{1/2}}{N^{1/3-}} + \sum_{k=1}^{3} \left(\frac{(1+\mu)^{k-1/2}}{N^{\frac{k}{2}-}} + \frac{(1+\mu)^{k+1/2}}{N^{\frac{k+1}{2}-}} \right) + \frac{(1+\mu)^3}{N}.$$

References

[1] I.I.Barbosa, The Cauchy Problem for nonlinear Quadratic Interactions of the Schrödinger type in one dimensional space, arXiv:1704.00862,(2017)

[2] J.Bourgain,*Fourier restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations Part I*, Geometric and Funct. Anal. **3** (1993), 107C156.

[3] J.Bourgain,*Refinements of Strichartz' inequality and applications to 2D-NLS with critical nonlinearity*, Int.Math.Research Notices,**5** (1998), 253-283.

[4] B.Dodson, *Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing, cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation when $n = 3$ via a linear-nonlinear decomposition*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 33(5): 1905-1926,(2013)

[5] Carlos E.Kenig, Gustabo Ponce, Luis Vega,*Quadratic forms for the 1D semilinear Schrodinger equation*,Transactions of the A.M.S **348**(1996), 3323-3354.

[6] C. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, *A bilinear estimate with applications to the KdV equation*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), 573C603

[7] K.Nakanishi, H.Takaoka,Y.Tsutsumi, *Counterexamples to bilinear estimates related with the KdV equation and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Methods Appl.Anal.,8(2001),569-578.

[8] Tadahiro Oh, *Diophantine conditions in global well-posedness for coupled kdv-type systems* ,Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2009(2009), No. 52, pp. 1C48.

[9] Yu. S. Kivshar. *Bright and dark spatial solitons in non-kerr media*, Optical and Quantum Electronics, **30**(1998), 571C614 .

[10] Nakao Hayashi , Tohru Ozawa , Kazunaga Tanaka, *On a system of nonlinear Schr?dinger equations with quadratic interaction*,Ann. I. H. Poincar C AN **30** (2013), 661C690.

[11] T.Roy, *Adapted linear-nonlinear decomposition and global well-posedness for solutions to the defocusing cubic wave equations in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, Discrete Contin.Dyn.Syst., 24(4):1307-1323,(2009).

[12] Qingtang Su, *Global well posedness and scattering for the defocusing, cubic NLS in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, Math.Res.Lett.19(2012), no.2,431-451.35Q55(35B30 35P25)

[13] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao,*A Refined global well-posedness result for Schrodinger equations with derivative*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 34(1), 64?86.

[14] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao,*Sharp global well-posedness for Kdv and modified Kdv on* $\mathbb{R}$ *and* $\mathbb{T}$, Journal of the American Mathematical Society Volume 16, Number 3,(2003), 705-749.

[15] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao, *Resonant decompositions and the I-method for cubic nonlinear Schrodinger on* $\mathbb{R}^2$, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 21 (2008), no. 3, 665?686..

[16] T.Tao *Nonlinear dispersive equaitons: local and global analysis*, CBMS regional conference series in mathematics (2006).

Laboratoire de J.A.Dieudonnéématiques.

E-mail address: csun@unice.fr